

# Ensuring the right to higher education: A general overview

Sharapov Shukhrat

Head of the legal department of Bukhara State University, Uzbekistan

[SharapovShukhrat55@gmail.com](mailto:SharapovShukhrat55@gmail.com)



## Article History:

Received on 2 December 2025

1<sup>st</sup> Revision on 10 December 2025

Accepted on 22 December 2025

## Abstract

**Purpose:** This study aims to examine the right to higher education as a fundamental human right and a key state obligation. It seeks to analyze international legal frameworks, national constitutions, and comparative experiences from Germany, Indonesia, Russia, and Uzbekistan to identify how legal reforms, policy mechanisms, and financial measures ensure equitable access to higher education.

**Methods:** Using a qualitative and comparative approach, the study applies doctrinal legal analysis of primary sources such as the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, ICESCR, and UNESCO Conventions, alongside national education laws. Secondary data from academic research, government reports, and statistical evidence were analyzed through content analysis to evaluate legal and institutional guarantees.

**Results:** Findings reveal that while international norms recognize higher education as a universal right, its realization varies among countries. Germany ensures free university access; Russia offers merit-based state-funded higher education; Indonesia guarantees education through constitutional budget allocation; and Uzbekistan demonstrates rapid progress through legislative reforms, expansion of institutions, and increased enrollment rates.

**Conclusion:** Ensuring the right to higher education requires a balance between accessibility, quality, and equity through sustained state commitment and international cooperation.

**Limitation:** The research focuses on selected case studies and does not include empirical fieldwork or student-level data, limiting its scope of generalization.

**Contribution:** This paper contributes to comparative educational law by linking global human rights frameworks with national implementation models, providing policy insights for developing inclusive and equitable higher education systems.

**Keywords:** *Compulsory Education, Educational Access, Educational Reform, Higher Education, Human Rights, International Law, Public Policy, Right to Education, Social Equity, State Obligation*

**How to Cite:** Shukhrat, S. (2025). Ensuring the right to higher education: A general overview. *Annals of Justice and Humanity*, 5(1), 51-61.

## 1. Introduction

The right to education for every individual is a crucial foundation for societal development and is recognized as an integral part of human rights by the United Nations. In particular, Hallo De Wolf and Moerland (2023) and Veriava and Paterson (2020) declare that everyone has the right to education, that elementary education shall be free and compulsory, and that technical and vocational education should be made generally available, while higher education shall be equally accessible to all based on merit. This universal principle establishes education not merely as a privilege but as a fundamental right and cornerstone of human dignity (Edeji, 2025). Higher education remains a crucial but unevenly accessed

resource, noting that opportunities for completion depend not only on academic ability but also on social background. This underscores the significant role of higher education in shaping individuals' life chances and its importance within modern societies (Helland & Strømme, 2024).

In today's knowledge-based and innovation-driven economy, higher education has become a fundamental prerequisite for sustainable development, economic competitiveness, and social mobility (Kasmur, Riyanto, & Sutanto, 2021; Sri kuning, 2021). Universities and colleges serve as catalysts for scientific and technological progress, nurturing creativity, critical thinking, and problem-solving capacities essential for national and global advancement. Higher education also enables citizens to exercise their civil, political, and economic rights more effectively while reducing poverty, inequality, and social exclusion by promoting upward mobility and inclusive participation in public life. Moreover, higher education institutions are not merely centers of learning but also dynamic engines of social transformation. They cultivate skilled professionals, promote research and innovation, and uphold democratic, ethical, and cultural values that strengthen the social cohesion. Therefore, equal access to higher education represents both a moral responsibility and a strategic investment in human capital and national resilience (Miahkykh, 2023; Trinh, 2023).

However, disparities in access driven by economic constraints, gender inequality, geographic barriers, and systemic discrimination continue to hinder the realization of this right in many parts of the world. The growing privatization of education and rising tuition costs have widened the gap between social classes, particularly in developing countries. In addition, technological disparities limit access to digital learning opportunities, further exacerbating inequalities. Addressing these challenges requires strong constitutional and legal safeguards, sustainable financing, and inclusive policy mechanisms that ensure fairness and opportunity for all (Silawane, Rengifurwarin, Ponto, & Patty, 2024). Accordingly, this study examines how different countries—specifically Germany, Russia, Indonesia, and Uzbekistan—implement the right to higher education through their respective legal frameworks. It also aims to identify lessons and best practices that can guide policymakers in strengthening equitable access, promoting institutional accountability, and aligning national education systems with international human rights standards in the context of the 21st-century global knowledge economy.

## **2. Literature Review**

### ***2.1 Conceptual Foundations of the Right to Higher Education***

The right to higher education is a derivative of the broader human right to education, as recognized by international law. Hallo De Wolf and Moerland (2023) emphasizes that the Universal Declaration of Human Rights remains a guiding framework for the global right to education, underscoring its vision of developing the full dignity and personality of every individual. It highlights that the UDHR continues to shape contemporary understandings of educational rights, including the principle that access to education—at all levels—should be grounded in equality and human development, and This principle was later codified in Oral (2024) and Pantic (2022), which obliges States Parties to make higher education progressively free and universally accessible.

These foundational instruments established the dual nature of this right, as both a human right and a state obligation. Jiang, Niu, and Teng (2025) further specifies that no one shall be excluded from any level of education on the grounds of race, gender, language, religion, or social origin, except for distinctions based on merit or need. Collectively, these provisions define higher education as an inclusive right, requiring governments to remove financial, social, and institutional barriers (Hani, Subhan, & Rasyad, 2024). Scholars such as Osler and Stokke (2021) and Peppiatt (2024) emphasize that the right to higher education contains four interdependent dimensions—availability, accessibility, acceptability, and adaptability—which together ensure both quantity and quality of educational provision. In the context of human development theory, higher education supports individual agency, economic growth and democratic participation (Fadhlurrohman, Purnomo, & Malawani, 2020). Thus, the legal recognition of this right represents not only social entitlement but also an investment in human capital.

## ***2.2 International Normative Developments***

Since the mid-twentieth century, international organizations have expanded the normative content of this right. The World Declaration on Higher Education for the Twenty-First Century, Ebzeeva and Smirnova (2023) explicitly identify higher education as a public good and a key factor in sustainable development. The declaration calls for equal access regardless of gender, ethnicity, or financial status and stresses academic freedom, institutional autonomy, and quality assurance as integral to the fulfillment of the right (Iqbal, 2023; Maassen, Elken, & Jungblut, 2025). In 2015, the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDG 4) reaffirmed the commitment to “ensure equal access for all women and men to affordable and quality technical, vocational and tertiary education”. UNESCO’s Right to Higher Education Report in 2022 observed that despite significant enrollment growth worldwide, inequalities persist due to financing gaps, privatization, and uneven quality assurance systems. The report recommends targeted public investment, inclusive admission policies, and the use of digital technologies to expand participation in higher education. Recent comparative analyses de Wit and Altbach (2022) and Marginson (2022b) reveal that while many European states have implemented tuition-free or low-fee systems, developing countries still struggle to balance access and sustainability. These studies underscore that the realization of the right depends not only on legal commitments but also on economic capacity and political will to implement them.

## ***2.3 National Legislative Frameworks and Comparative Perspectives***

### ***2.3.1 Germany***

Germany represents one of the most comprehensive models for implementing this right through free public higher-education. Frick (2019) explains that the German Federal Constitutional Court has played a central role in interpreting the Basic Law, particularly by expanding the meaning of fundamental rights and shaping the scope of personal freedoms. It emphasizes how the Court’s jurisprudence has continually broadened constitutional protections, reinforcing individual rights within the democratic order. Public funding ensures that admission decisions rely primarily on merit rather than socioeconomic background (Putra & Hariri, 2022). Scholars such as Eckersley (2024) argue that Germany’s federal coordination mechanism between the states and the federal government provides a stable framework that combines autonomy with accountability.

### ***2.3.2 Indonesia***

The Indonesian Constitution guarantees the right to education Ginting, Suganda, and Suasungnern (2024), obliging the state to allocate at least 20 percent of the national budget to education. The National Education System Law of 2003 extended this right to higher education and established mechanisms for scholarships, affirmative quotas, and distance learning. According to Siburian (2021) Indonesia continues to experience wide regional inequalities driven by uneven initial economic conditions and resource distribution. Wealthier provinces are able to provide more advanced public services, while poorer regions struggle to meet basic needs. Without strong central government intervention, decentralized service provision can widen disparities, as richer areas progress faster than less developed provinces.

### ***2.3.3 Russia***

The Constitution of the Russian Federation, adopted in 1993, guarantees free higher education in state institutions on a competitive basis. Russia’s dual-track system—state-funded and fee-paying—enables mass participation while preserving the meritocracy. The study Anfalova (2025) shows that although higher education in Russia has expanded, access remains unequal. Rising tuition fees and reliance on paid education limit opportunities for students from disadvantaged backgrounds. Economic and geographic barriers significantly reduce the likelihood of university enrolment, even when academic achievement is similar. Thus, access to higher education continues to be shaped by socioeconomic conditions despite the availability of state-funded places.

### ***2.3.4 Uzbekistan***

Uzbekistan’s Constitution Abdusamadov (2023) recognizes education as universal, and the Law on Education in 2020 explicitly prohibits discrimination at all educational levels. Recent presidential decrees—particularly PF-5847 (2019) on the Concept for the Development of Higher Education until

2030—have driven large-scale expansion: the number of higher education institutions increased from approximately 80 to 213 between 2016 and 2023, and gross enrollment rose from 9 percent to 42 percent. Research by the UNESCO International Institute for Higher Education (IESALC) in 2022 noted Uzbekistan’s rapid alignment with the Bologna Process and its growing network of joint international programs. Despite these achievements, experts such as Ismailov (2023) have highlighted the ongoing challenges of balancing quantitative growth with quality assurance and equitable regional access.

#### ***2.4 Barriers and Challenges in Realizing the Right***

Three major categories of barriers persist across jurisdictions: financial, social, and institutional. Financial constraints remain the most pervasive; UNESCO (2022) estimates that two-thirds of students globally must pay tuition or private fees, disproportionately affecting women and low-income groups. Social factors, including gender norms, rural-urban divides, and language barriers, also shape access patterns, especially in developing economies. Institutional challenges include limited autonomy, outdated curricula, and insufficient accreditation mechanisms.

Scholars such as McCowan (2019) argue that marketization and privatization threaten the public-good character of higher education by treating learning as a commodity rather than a right. Others, such as Marginson (2022a), contend that globalization offers opportunities for equity through international collaboration and open-access learning technologies, provided that governance systems remain transparent and inclusive.

#### ***2.5 Emerging Directions and Future Perspectives***

The literature points to several emerging trends. First, digital transformation has catalyzed the democratization of access. Bond, Buntins, Bedenlier, Zawacki-Richter, and Kerres (2020) show that open and distance education models can extend opportunities to marginalized populations if supported by adequate infrastructure. Second, the integration of human rights-based approaches into national education strategies is gaining momentum. For instance, UNESCO encourages states to include measurable indicators, such as tuition-fee ratios, participation rates of disadvantaged groups, and gender-parity indexes, into their policy-monitoring frameworks.

Third, cross-border education and internationalization contribute to the realization of rights globally. Student exchange programs, dual-degree partnerships, and international accreditation initiatives enhance diversity and quality while fostering cultural understanding. Kapfudzaruwa (2025) observes that internationalization often reinforces unequal global patterns, noting that current mechanisms “continually reward institutions in the Global North—exacerbating inequality.” This suggests that internationalization may deepen existing imbalances rather than create equitable global higher education partnerships. Finally, the literature emphasizes the need for sustainable financing models that combine public investment, private participation, and international assistance. In low- and middle-income countries, blended funding and public-private partnerships can sustain massification without eroding equity (Johnstone, 2019).

### **3. Research Methodology**

This study employs a qualitative, comparative, and doctrinal research approach to explore the right to higher education within both international and national legal frameworks. The qualitative design allows for an in-depth understanding of legal texts, policy documents, and institutional practices related to educational rights, while the doctrinal method focuses on analyzing laws, conventions, and judicial interpretations that define state obligations to ensure access to higher education.

Primary sources include international human rights instruments such as the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) (1948), the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR) (1966), and the UNESCO Convention against Discrimination in Education (1960). National legal documents, such as the constitutions, education laws, and governmental decrees of Uzbekistan, Germany, Indonesia, and Russia, were examined to assess how these states interpret and operationalize the right to higher education within their legal systems.

Secondary data were drawn from peer-reviewed academic studies, UNESCO and UNICEF policy reports, and national statistics on higher education access and enrollment. The comparative method was applied to identify best practices, gaps, and challenges across different legal and socio-political contexts of the countries. Furthermore, content analysis was employed to evaluate legislative provisions, policy goals, and institutional mechanisms, particularly emphasizing Uzbekistan's recent higher education reforms and their alignment with international standards.

### **3.1 Discussion**

In the current era of globalization, expanding access to higher education is a pressing issue. Although global enrollment in higher education has doubled over the past two decades, financial barriers, social inequality, and other factors continue to limit access to higher education in many countries. Ebzeeva and Smirnova (2023) These realities impose additional obligations on states to take appropriate measures to ensure the right to higher education. International law recognizes the right to higher education as a component of general education. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights (1948) affirms that everyone has the right to education and that higher education should be equally accessible to all, based on individual capacity. This provision establishes the principle of equal opportunity in accessing higher education.

This right has been further reinforced by the binding international instruments. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (1966) obliges states to progressively make higher education accessible to all through the introduction of free education. In particular, Hallo De Wolf and Moerland (2023) underscores that the UDHR affirms education as a universal right and calls for expanding equal access by removing barriers and promoting inclusive opportunities for all. Hallo De Wolf and Moerland (2023) the UDHR provides a guiding framework that requires states to support the right to education through adequate institutions and resources, ensuring that access can be progressively realized for all.

Eliminating discrimination in education is also a key aspect of guaranteeing the right to education. The UNESCO Convention against Discrimination in Education (1960) prohibits all forms of exclusion or limitation based on race, gender, religion, and other factors and reinforces the principle of equal opportunity in education among states. According to the Convention, no person shall be excluded from education based on such grounds, and state authorities may only differentiate between students based on need or merit. In practice, this means that admission to higher education should be based solely on knowledge and competence without arbitrary restrictions.

The international community continues to pay significant attention to promoting the right to higher education through various UNESCO decisions and programs. For instance, the World Declaration on Higher Education for the Twenty-First Century, adopted at the UNESCO World Conference on Higher Education in Paris (1998), recognized higher education as both a tool for societal development and a means of ensuring human rights. UNESCO also issues regular recommendations to promote the principles of quality and equity in higher education. Furthermore, Goal 4 of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDG 4) aims to ensure equal access to affordable and quality technical, vocational, and tertiary education, including university education, for all women and men by 2030. These international legal foundations demonstrate that the right to higher education is a universally acknowledged human right, and its implementation is a core state responsibility. In line with the requirements of international instruments, states must shape their national legislation and adopt practical measures to ensure this right is upheld.

## **4. Results and Discussion**

### **4.1 Comparative Experiences of Ensuring the Right to Higher Education**

#### **4.1.1 Germany's Experience**

Although the right to education is not explicitly stated in the text of the Basic Law of Germany of 1949, the country has a well-established practice of ensuring this right. The Federal Constitutional Court of Germany interprets the right to education as a constitutional value derived from the Basic Law, which

guarantees the right to free personal development. Thus, every individual should have the opportunity to receive an education in line with their abilities and aspirations.

Access to higher education in Germany is widespread. Owing to its federal structure, education policy is mainly regulated at the state (Länder) level, although overarching principles are coordinated through federal legislation. In the late 1990s and the early 2000s, several laws were adopted to modernize the higher-education system. Significantly, higher education is mostly offered free of charge at public university. By 2014, tuition fees were abolished in all 16 German states for bachelor's programs, both for local and international students. Moskovkin and Zhang (2024) Only small administrative fees remain. This measure plays a vital role in promoting social equity and expanding access to higher education for all groups.

Germany's commitment to quality and inclusiveness in higher education is backed by substantial state funding. Consequently, a large proportion of German youth pursue university education. In conclusion, Germany's example illustrates the importance of strong political will and financial commitment to the practical realization of the right to higher education.

#### *4.1.2 Indonesia's Experience*

The Constitution of the Republic of Indonesia explicitly guarantees the right to education. Article 31(1) declares that every citizen has the right to education, and Article 31(2) mandates that the state finance and ensure the completion of compulsory basic education. Ginting et al. (2024) This includes free and mandatory elementary education. Furthermore, Article 31(4) requires that at least 20% of the national and local budgets be allocated to education, making Indonesia one of the countries with the highest share of education funding in its budget.

Efforts to realize the right to higher education are largely implemented within this 20% budget. The National Education System Law of 2003 also outlines citizens' rights to access all levels of education, including higher education. In recent years, the number of higher education institutions in Indonesia has increased, including public universities and private institutions. The government promotes equal access to higher education by allocating scholarships and quotas for students from low-income families to attend university. Indonesia's experience highlights the critical importance of financial guarantees in enforcing constitutional provisions in the country. While challenges remain — especially in increasing higher-education coverage in remote areas and improving educational quality — the legal and policy foundations are robust.

#### *4.1.3 Russia's Experience*

The Constitution of the Russian Federation explicitly guarantees the right to an education. Article 43(1) states that everyone has the right to an education. According to Article 43(2), the state guarantees free general and vocational education in public institutions. Most importantly, Article 43(3) affirms that citizens have the right to free higher education in state universities on a competitive basis. Popova and Yanik (2019) This provision means that access to higher education in Russia is based on the principles of equality and merit. Admissions to state-funded universities are determined by academic performance and entrance examinations. The most capable students are granted state scholarships and free tuition fees.

Russia's education policy has evolved from the legacy of the Soviet Union. The Law on Education of 1992 and its subsequent revisions established a legal framework for higher education based on humanism, institutional diversity, and adherence to state standards. Russia maintains a vast network of public universities, with thousands of students receiving free higher education each year. Paid education is also available, offering additional opportunities for training. Russia's approach continues to view higher education as a part of the country's social protection system. The practice of educating talented youth at the state's expense reflects a commitment to social responsibility and to national development.

#### ***4.2 Ensuring the Right to Higher Education in the Republic of Uzbekistan***

Since gaining independence, the Republic of Uzbekistan has recognized the right to education at the constitutional level and has undertaken comprehensive reforms to realize this right. The Constitution of the Republic of Uzbekistan Abdusamadov (2023) affirms in Article 50 (formerly, Article 41) that everyone has the right to education. The state guarantees free general education — meaning that primary and secondary schooling is both free and compulsory.[3] The Constitution also states that educational institutions operate under state supervision. Although the term “higher education” is not directly mentioned in the constitutional text, the phrase “right to education” is interpreted to include all levels, including higher education.

Article 51 of the Constitution further guarantees the right to benefit from scientific and artistic achievements, alongside qualified healthcare and social security, thereby requiring the state to support the cultural and scientific development of society — an indirect affirmation of the state's obligation to support higher education. The legal mechanisms for ensuring the right to higher education in Uzbekistan are enshrined in the country's specialized laws and regulations. The primary legal document in this sphere is the Law “On Education,” revised in September 2020. Article 5 of the law affirms that all citizens, regardless of ethnicity, gender, language, religion, social background, or other status, have the right to education. This establishes a firm legal guarantee against educational discrimination.

The law also ensures lifelong access to all levels of education, freedom of choice regarding educational institutions, secular nature of education, and openness of education based on national standards (Simbo, 2025). According to the law, general secondary and vocational education are compulsory, whereas higher education is voluntary and competitive. Thus, while the state guarantees free basic education, it also ensures fairness and competitiveness in accessing higher education (Brewis, 2019; Sebola, 2022). In recent years, Uzbekistan has implemented large-scale reforms in the higher education sector aimed at expanding access, improving quality, and aligning with international standards.

First, the number of higher education institutions has increased significantly. While there were approximately 70–80 universities prior to 2016, by 2023, this number had grown to 213. These include 116 public universities, 67 private institutions, and 30 branches or joint programs with foreign universities. Second, admission quotas for higher-education institutions have increased annually, allowing a greater number of students to enroll. As a result, the gross enrollment rate in higher education has risen dramatically — from approximately 9–10% in 2017 to 38% in 2022 and 42% in 2023. Qodirov and Murodulloyeva (2024) This represents a major breakthrough in expanding access to tertiary education.

Third, the government has strengthened measures to ensure social equity in higher education. Presidential decrees have introduced various benefits, including special quotas for persons with disabilities, orphans, and socially vulnerable groups; grants specifically for female students; and additional quotas for applicants from remote areas. Presidential Decree No. PF-5847 (October 8, 2019), which approved the Concept for the Development of the Higher Education System until 2030, set a target of enrolling at least 50% of high school graduates in higher education by that year. Prezidentining (2019), financial and organizational measures have been adopted to reach this target. For example, the share of state-funded (budget) seats has gradually increased, easing access for students from low-income backgrounds. Additionally, differential contracts (tuition) and education loans have been introduced to support those studying on a fee-paying basis.

Fourth, the country has taken significant steps to enhance education quality and foster international integration. In 2022, Uzbekistan officially applied to join the European Higher Education Area and began implementing the principles of Bologna Process. The number of joint academic programs with foreign universities has also increased. In the 2023/2024 academic year, 48 domestic universities operated 289 joint programs with 6,818 admissions quotas allocated. These programs enable students to earn foreign diplomas and become internationally competitive. The incorporation of international standards into the education system helps raise quality across the sector.

Overall, the reforms implemented in Uzbekistan to ensure the right to higher education have produced tangible results. The state has expanded the network of higher education institutions, increased coverage, and is actively working to improve quality. Nevertheless, challenges remain: competition for university admission is still intense, some talented students may miss out on opportunities, distance and evening education needs further development, and improving faculty qualifications and teaching quality remains a priority. Uzbekistan continues to make consistent efforts to guarantee the right to higher education, drawing on international experience and best practices.

### **4.3 The Role of International Organizations in Promoting Higher Education Rights**

International organizations play a crucial role in advancing the global agenda of equitable access to higher education. Agencies such as UNESCO, UNICEF, and the World Bank continuously promote cooperation, policy dialogue, and capacity building among nations. UNESCO, through its *World Declaration on Higher Education for the Twenty-First Century (1998)* and the *Education 2030 Framework for Action*, emphasizes inclusiveness, quality, and sustainability as the guiding principles for higher education reform.

The UNESCO International Institute for Higher Education in Latin America and the Caribbean (IESALC) provides comparative analyses and recommendations for implementing the right to higher education in different contexts. Similarly, UNICEF contributes to promoting gender equity and social inclusion, ensuring that disadvantaged youth gain access to quality higher-education. Financial institutions, such as the World Bank and Asian Development Bank, support these goals through funding programs, digital transformation projects, and research collaborations aimed at improving governance and quality assurance.

These organizations act as catalysts for innovation, knowledge exchange, and international benchmarks. Their frameworks encourage the mutual recognition of qualifications, academic mobility, and institutional autonomy—factors essential for building globally competitive and inclusive education systems. For developing countries such as Uzbekistan and Indonesia, collaboration with international organizations offers not only financial and technical assistance but also strategic guidance in aligning local reforms with global standards. In summary, the role of international organizations remains indispensable for realizing the universal right to higher education through global partnerships and shared responsibility.

## **5. Conclusion**

### **5.1 Conclusion**

The above analysis demonstrates that ensuring the right to higher education requires a multifaceted approach. International legal norms obligate states to guarantee open access to higher education, promote equal opportunities, and progressively introduce free education. While implementing these commitments at the national level, each country must consider its own political, economic, and cultural contexts.

For example:

Countries such as Germany have achieved universal free access to higher education.

Countries such as Russia offer free higher education based on academic merit and competition.

Indonesia seeks to expand its coverage by significantly increasing its budget allocations.

Uzbekistan has also made substantial progress in recent years; the number of higher education institutions and student enrollment has grown significantly, the legal framework has been updated, and steps have been taken to align with international standards.

In conclusion, the right to higher education represents a vital opportunity for every young person to realize their intellectual potential. It is the responsibility of the state to ensure that this opportunity becomes a practical reality for all. International law and global best practices show that sustained investment in education, strong legal safeguards, and effective implementation mechanisms are essential to provide all individuals with the means to enjoy this right. Uzbekistan is steadily building the foundation for making the right to higher education a universally accessible and legally guaranteed



right by adhering to its constitutional principles. Continued efforts in this direction will help ensure that the right to higher education in the country becomes meaningful and truly inclusive.

### 5.2 Limitation

This study had several limitations. First, it is primarily based on qualitative and doctrinal analyses of legal documents, policy frameworks, and secondary sources, without direct empirical investigations such as interviews or surveys. Consequently, it may not fully capture the lived experiences of students, educators, or institutional actors involved in implementing higher education policies. Second, the comparative analysis is limited to four countries — Germany, Russia, Indonesia, and Uzbekistan — each with unique socio-economic and political contexts. Therefore, the findings cannot be generalized to all educational systems worldwide. Third, the study mainly focuses on legal and institutional frameworks while providing a limited quantitative evaluation of outcomes such as educational quality, equality, and graduate employability. Future research should incorporate mixed methods, including fieldwork and longitudinal data, to develop a more comprehensive understanding of how the right to higher education is implemented in practice.

### 5.3 Recommendations

However, the full realization of the right to higher education still requires continued attention in several key areas.

1. **Balancing Quality and Access**  
Increasing enrollment must be accompanied by sustained efforts to maintain high-quality education and ensure graduates' competitiveness.
2. **Ensuring social justice by expanding quotas and scholarships for students from disadvantaged backgrounds, including persons with disabilities and youth from rural or underserved regions, remains vital for equitable access.**
3. **Sustainable Financing** In addition to public funding, the state should attract private sector investments, international grants and loans, and establish new higher-education institutions through public-private partnerships. In this regard, financial transparency and institutional autonomy are essential.
4. **Leveraging Technology** Expanding digital infrastructure, online learning platforms, and open educational resources can increase the accessibility and inclusivity of higher education. The COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted the transformative potential of remote education.
5. **Strengthening International Cooperation** Broadening international student exchange programs, dual-degree options, and recruiting foreign faculty can significantly enhance the quality of higher education and promote intercultural dialogue and tolerance.

### References

- Abdusamadov, K. H. U. (2023). Comparative analysis of the constitutions of the countries of central asia. *Academic research in educational sciences*, 4(8), 86-99.
- Anfalova, A. V. (2025). Inequality in Access to Higher Education in Russia. *Population and Economics*, 9(2), 86-116. doi:<https://doi.org/10.3897/popecon.9.e149154>
- Bond, M., Buntins, K., Bedenlier, S., Zawacki-Richter, O., & Kerres, M. (2020). Mapping research in student engagement and educational technology in higher education: A systematic evidence map. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 17(1), 2. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0176-8>
- Brewis, E. (2019). Fair access to higher education and discourses of development: a policy analysis from Indonesia. *Compare: A Journal of Comparative and International Education*, 49(3), 453-470. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1080/03057925.2018.1425132>
- de Wit, H., & Altbach, P. G. (2022). The impact of COVID-19 on the internationalisation of higher education, revolutionary or not? *Global higher education during and beyond COVID-19: Perspectives and challenges* (pp. 219-231): Springer.
- Ebzeeva, Y. N., & Smirnova, Y. B. (2023). Contemporary trends in educational policy: UNESCO higher education roadmap. *RUDN Journal of Sociology*, 23(2), 329-337. doi:<https://doi.org/10.22363/2313-2272-2023-23-2-329-337>

- Eckersley, P. (2024). Balancing cooperative federalism with local autonomy: subnational governments and governance in Germany *Handbook on Subnational Governments and Governance* (pp. 299-311): Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Edeji, O. C. (2025). Intersections of the Right to Education and Human Dignity in International Human Rights Law: A Purpose-Based Analysis. *Laws*, 14(3), 33. doi:<https://doi.org/10.3390/laws14030033>
- Fadhlurrohman, M. I., Purnomo, E. P., & Malawani, A. D. (2020). Analysis of sustainable health development in Indonesia (sustainable development goal's). *Jurnal Kesehatan Lingkungan Indonesia*, 19(2), 133-143. doi:<https://doi.org/10.14710/jkli.19.2.133-143>
- Frick, V. (2019). The Justicization of Politics: Constitutionalism and Democracy in Germany after 1949. *Redescriptions: Political Thought, Conceptual History and Feminist Theory*, 22(1). doi:<https://doi.org/10.33134/rds.312>
- Ginting, B. P., Suganda, A., & Suasungnern, S. (2024). THE CONCEPT OF THE RULE OF LAW IN THE INDONESIAN CONSTITUTION. *SIBATIK JOURNAL: Jurnal Ilmiah Bidang Sosial, Ekonomi, Budaya, Teknologi, Dan Pendidikan*, 3(12), 1291-1300. doi:<https://doi.org/10.54443/sibatik.v3i12.2543>
- Hallo De Wolf, A., & Moerland, R. (2023). The UDHR as a living instrument at 75 and beyond. *Netherlands Quarterly of Human Rights*, 41(4), 182-189. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1177/09240519231214481>
- Hani, U., Subhan, Y. A., & Rasyad, H. (2024). Depiction of Patriarchal Society in Disney's Cinderella. *Jurnal Humaniora dan Ilmu Pendidikan*, 3(2), 75-83. doi:<https://doi.org/10.35912/jahidik.v3i2.2706>
- Helland, H., & Strømme, T. B. (2024). Social inequality in completion rates in higher education: Heterogeneity in educational fields. *The British Journal of Sociology*, 75(2), 201-218. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-4446.13075>
- Iqbal, M. (2023). Manajemen Humas dalam Upaya Meningkatkan Mutu Pendidikan Perguruan Tinggi. *Jurnal Humaniora Dan Ilmu Pendidikan*, 2(2), 71-78. doi:<https://doi.org/10.35912/jahidik.v2i2.1565>
- Ismailov, T. (2023). ESG rating of capital's effect on firms' financing sources: a case study of Asian companies. *Access*. doi:[https://doi.org/10.46656/access.2024.5.1\(7](https://doi.org/10.46656/access.2024.5.1(7)
- Jiang, Z., Niu, X., & Teng, J. (2025). A historical review and analysis of UNESCO's gender equality in education. *Asia Pacific Journal of Education*, 1-19. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1080/02188791.2025.2592788>
- Johnstone, D. B. (2019). Global Realities, Policy Options, and Common Misunderstandings. *The Oxford Handbook of Higher Education Systems and University Management*, 314.
- Kapfudzaruwa, F. (2025). Internationalization of higher education and emerging national rationales: Comparative analysis of the Global North and south. *Higher Education Policy*, 38(3), 493-522. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1057/s41307-024-00358-z>
- Kasmur, R., Riyanto, R., & Sutanto, A. (2021). Pengaruh kreativitas dan profesionalisme terhadap kinerja guru Sekolah Menengah Pertama Negeri di kecamatan Trimurjo kabupaten Lampung Tengah. *Jurnal Humaniora dan Ilmu Pendidikan*, 1(1), 15-25. doi:<https://doi.org/10.35912/jahidik.v1i1.296>
- Maassen, P., Elken, M., & Jungblut, J. (2025). De facto Academic Freedom in the European Union—Threats and Trends. *European Review*, 1-16. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S1062798725000134>
- Marginson, S. (2022a). What drives global science? The four competing narratives. *Studies in higher education*, 47(8), 1566-1584. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2021.1942822>
- Marginson, S. (2022b). What is global higher education? *Oxford Review of Education*, 48(4), 492-517. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1080/03054985.2022.2061438>
- McCowan, T. (2019). Higher education for and beyond the sustainable development goals. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-19597-7>
- Miahkykh, I. (2023). Social responsibility in higher education: challenges and opportunities. *Менеджмент*. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.30857/2415-3206.2023.1.11>
- Moskovkin, V. M., & Zhang, H. (2024). The Impact of the University Reputation on Tuition Fees for International Students and on International Student Investment in the Country's Economy.

- Oral, E. (2024). The environmental rule of law and the protection of human rights defenders: law, society, technology, and markets. *International Environmental Agreements: Politics, Law and Economics*, 24(2), 393-421. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10784-024-09645-x>
- Osler, A., & Stokke, C. (2021). Prerequisites and outcomes of human rights education (Vol. 4, pp. 1-4): Taylor & Francis.
- Pantic, A. J. (2022). The Appropriation Of Human Rights Protection By International Organizations- Serbia And Cultural Rights. *International Organizations: Serbia and Contemporary*. doi:[https://doi.org/10.18485/iipe\\_ioscw.2022.1.ch20](https://doi.org/10.18485/iipe_ioscw.2022.1.ch20)
- Peppiatt, C. (2024). The Future of Work: Inequality, Artificial Intelligence, and What Can Be Done About It. A Literature Review. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.13300*. doi:<https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2408.13300>
- Popova, S., & Yanik, A. (2019). The Russian Constitution of 1993 and the constitutionalization of Federal Legislation: data analysis. *BRICS Law Journal*, 6(3), 128-161. doi:<https://doi.org/10.21684/2412-2343-2019-6-3-128-161>
- Prezidentining, O. z. R. (2019). O 'zbekiston Respublikasi oliy ta'lim tizimini 2030-yilgacha rivojlantirish konsepsiyasini tasdiqlash to 'g 'risida.
- Putra, S. P., & Hariri, H. (2022). The Effect of Principal Supervision on Teacher Performance: Literature Review. *Jurnal Humaniora dan Ilmu Pendidikan*, 2(2), 63-70. doi:<https://doi.org/10.35912/jahidik.v2i2.1648>
- Qodirov, F., & Murodulloyeva, J. (2024). O'zbekistonda Raqamli Iqtisodiyot. *Инновационные исследования в современном мире: теория и практика*, 3(15), 178-181.
- Sebola, M. P. (2022). The role of Technical Vocational Education and Training Colleges (TVET) in higher education teaching: a higher education provided at basic education standard. *EUREKA: Social and Humanities*(1), 50-57. doi:<https://doi.org/10.21303/2504-5571.2022.002257>
- Siburian, M. E. (2021). Fiscal decentralization, regional income inequality, and the provision of local public goods: Evidence from Indonesia. *Journal of Economic Development*, 46(4), 87-104.
- Silawane, N. N., Rengifurwarin, Z. A., Ponto, I. S., & Patty, J. T. (2024). Analisis Kinerja Pegawai Pada Bagian Keuangan Sekretariat Kpu Kota Ambon. *Jurnal Humaniora dan Ilmu Pendidikan*, 3(2), 97-109. doi:<https://doi.org/10.35912/jahidik.v3i2.4331>
- Simbo, C. (2025). Exploring the purpose and evolution of education: from informal learning to formal schooling, challenges, international legal frameworks and recommendations for the future. *International Journal of Research in Business & Social Science*, 14(2). doi:<https://doi.org/10.20525/ijrbs.v14i2.3920>
- Sri kuning, D. (2021). Culture Shock: Online Learning in the Covid-19 Pandemic Phase. *Jurnal Humaniora dan Ilmu Pendidikan*, 1(1), 55-62. doi:<https://doi.org/10.35912/jahidik.v1i1.357>
- Trinh, N. T. H. (2023). Higher education and its role for national development. A research agenda with bibliometric analysis. *Interchange*, 54(2), 125-143. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10780-023-09493-9>
- Veriava, F., & Paterson, K. (2020). The right to education *Research handbook on economic, social and cultural rights as human rights* (pp. 113-136): Edward Elgar Publishing.