Global Academy of Multidisciplinary Studies (GAMS)
ISSN: 3110-7052, Vol 2, No 3, 2026, 191-206 https://doi.org/10.35912/gams.v2i3.4018

North Atlantic Treaty Organization, Russo-Ukrainian Conflict, and Global Security

Akietuwopiribie Opuene Hart
University of Port Harcourt, Port Harcourt, Nigeria
akiehart@yahoo.com

Article History
Received on 20 January 2026
1st Revision on 12 February 2026
2nd Revision on 27 February 2026
Accepted on 4 March 2026

Abstract

Purpose: This study explores the impact of NATO's eastward expansion on regional security, particularly its consequences for Russia and the broader international system. It examines NATO's response to the Russo-Ukrainian conflict and evaluates how this response has affected the unity of the alliance and the effectiveness of conflict management mechanisms.

Research Methodology: This study uses a qualitative approach based on secondary sources, such as journal articles, government publications, and international news. It employs content analysis to assess NATO policies, Russia's strategic reactions, and the evolving security dynamics in Eastern Europe. The theoretical framework applied is collective security, which focuses on NATO's collective defense obligations and their influence on relations with Russia.

Results: NATO's response has strengthened solidarity but caused internal divisions due to national interests. NATO's expansion has worsened relations with Russia, leading to military interventions. Conventional conflict resolution methods, especially the **UN Security** Council (UNSC), have been ineffective, shifting the focus to alternative diplomatic platforms.

Conclusions: NATO's expansion has intensified tensions with Russia, challenging existing conflict resolution mechanisms. This study suggests that NATO engage in dialogue with Russia and reassess its enlargement policy to address modern security issues effectively.

Limitations: This study relies on secondary data sources, which may limit the scope of the analysis and exclude firsthand perspectives from key decision-makers. Additionally, it does not assess the direct impacts of NATO actions on countries outside of the immediate Russia-NATO relationship.

Contributions: This research provides insights for international relations and security studies, helping policymakers, scholars, and international organizations navigate NATO's role in global security and conflict resolution.

Keywords: *Conflict Resolution, Collective Security, Expansion, NATO, Regional Security, Russia, Russo-Ukrainian Conflict*

How to Cite: Hart, A. O. (2026). North Atlantic Treaty Organization, Russo-Ukrainian Conflict, and Global Security. *Global Academy of Multidisciplinary Studies (GAMS)*, 2(3), 191-206.

1. Introduction

NATO was established in 1949, mainly to protect the freedom and security of its member states through collective defense. The main principle of NATO is that an attack on one member is an attack on all, as provided in Article 5 of the North Atlantic Treaty. NATO was originally established as a counterweight to the Soviet Union during the Cold War but has evolved to meet new security threats worldwide. It has increased its membership to include former Eastern Bloc countries and focuses on emerging threats, such

as cyberattacks, terrorism, and the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction (Blackburn, 2025). Over the past few years, NATO has been keen on modernizing its defense capabilities, countering the increasing strategic rivalry of Russia and China, and maintaining regional stability by being militarily ready and politically united (Blackburn, 2025). As the world becomes more unstable, NATO has also been keen on improving its relations with non-member states and other international bodies to improve peace and security worldwide (Larsen, 2025).

The Russo-Ukrainian conflict, which reached a new level after the annexation of Crimea by Russia in 2014, became even more dramatic when Russia invaded Ukraine in 2022. This conflict has turned into one of the most important geopolitical crises of the 21st century, and its impact on regional and global security is enormous. The invasion has led to massive destruction, resulting in great humanitarian suffering and the displacement of millions of people. Nevertheless, Ukraine has demonstrated great strength and has been receiving substantial international aid, especially from NATO members, which has sustained the conflict and continued (Hardt, 2024).

Global supply chains, particularly those in energy and food, have also been disrupted by the war, and many countries not directly involved in the conflict region (Alemu, 2025). Moreover, territorial sovereignty, national identity, and the right of nations to self-determination have been the subject of heated debates due to the conflict, which has led to increasing geopolitical tensions (Szőke & Kusica, 2023). The use of NATO to assist Ukraine has brought complicated issues regarding the balance between deterrence and escalation in contemporary warfare (Cottey, 2025).

This study examines the complex nexus between NATO, the Russo-Ukrainian conflict, and international security, and explores the interactions between these elements and how they influence one another. It will provide useful evidence on the strategy of NATO in response to collective defense, the development of the conflict, and the overall implications for global security by adding new insights to the existing scholarly corpus on the interplay of collective defense efficacy and issues of contemporary conflict resolution. It will also examine how the ongoing war has altered the balance of power in the world, providing a foundation for the evolving nature of international relations. The findings of this study will provide policy directions for global leaders and international organizations in their endeavors to address the dynamics of contemporary geopolitics, which demands a multi-dimensional approach to the preservation of peace and security in the post-Cold War world.

1.1 Statement of the problem

The North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) is regarded as the source of collective defense, which is founded on the principle that an attack on one of its members is an attack on all. Despite its success in its formation, NATO is currently facing several issues that are jeopardizing its unity and effectiveness. The fact that the member states are internally fragmented, a situation that has been worsened by increasing nationalism and Euroskepticism, has made it difficult to have a coherent stand on collective security. The response of the alliance to non-traditional threats, such as cyberattacks and hybrid warfare, appears to be sluggish and fragmented, and it is doubtful whether NATO is capable of reacting to modern security threats (Helferich, 2025).

The wider effects of the Russo-Ukrainian conflict extend far beyond Europe, as it is redefining global security. International relations have been severely strained, with nations taking sides with either Ukraine or Russia depending on their strategic interests. The economic sanctions imposed on Russia have caused havoc in the global markets, especially in the energy and agriculture sectors, which have had a ripple effect in other parts of the world (Shahbaz & Muzaffar, 2025). Countries that rely on Russian energy exports have been experiencing increased prices and scarcity, and food prices have skyrocketed worldwide because of the Ukrainian port blockade. The war has also led to a reconsideration of military readiness worldwide.

Fearing the spillover effects, many countries have been spending more on defense, leading to an arms race worldwide.

The militarization of international relations raises the question of whether this accumulation of military power contributes to security or is simply preparing a wider confrontation (Fihel, Homel, Jaroszewicz, Lesińska, & Bentz, 2025). The current humanitarian crisis, in which millions of refugees are fleeing to neighboring countries, has exacerbated the situation and required a global response. The question is: what can the international community do to deal with the humanitarian consequences of this war? These are complicated problems that indicate the necessity of creating new approaches to preserving peace and stability, not only in Europe but also worldwide. Thus, this research was conducted in this social context to analyze the North Atlantic Treaty Organization, Russo-Ukrainian conflict, and their implications for global security.

In examining these interrelated factors, this paper offers critical information about the changing character of international security issues and the contribution of NATO in reducing these threats. Therefore, it is crucial to comprehend the consequences of NATO policies and the general implications of the Russo-Ukrainian conflict to develop international strategies in the future to maintain world peace and security. The research questions that guided this study are as follows:

1) How has NATO's strategic response to the Russo-Ukrainian conflict influenced the alliance's cohesion and global security objectives?
2) In what ways has the Russo-Ukrainian conflict reshaped global power dynamics and implications for international conflict resolution mechanisms?
3) To what extent has NATO expansion in Eastern Europe contributed to the escalation of tensions with Russia and affected future security in the region?
4) How can safeguards be implemented within political alliances to ensure consistent collective security during transitions of power, particularly in cases where political will or priorities change, as seen in the shift from Biden to Trump?

2. Literature Review and Hypothesis/es Development

2.1 The North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO)

The North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) is a political and military union that was established in 1949, initially created to oppose Soviet expansion during the Cold War. The main task of NATO is to guarantee the security of its members by collective defense, as stated in Article 5 of the North Atlantic Treaty, which stipulates that an attack against any member is regarded as an attack against all. NATO has been changing over time to deal with emerging security threats, such as the emergence of non-state actors, cyber threats, and the spread of weapons of mass destruction. NATO has also expanded its influence to include former Eastern Bloc and Balkan nations, further entrenching its presence in European and global security.

Although NATO has been successful in ensuring peace and stability in the region, the role of the alliance in the modern era is becoming more complicated, and the issues that the alliance faces include internal political divisions of the member states and the response of the alliance to modern security threats (Kunertova & Schmitt, 2025). Although NATO has had wide success, it has continued to experience problems that jeopardize its unity. With the growth of the alliance, it has faced challenges in harmonizing the political and military interests of its members, especially in the face of increasing nationalism and Euroskepticism. The alliance has been plagued by tensions over defense expenditures, the United States role, and NATO's strategic priorities.

For example, some European members have demanded more independence from U.S. influence, while others still depend heavily on American military strength. Such internal differences may undermine NATO's performance, particularly in addressing emergency security challenges. In addition, the eastward

expansion of NATO has been a source of contention for Russia, which views it as a strategic encirclement. This understanding has led to existing geopolitical tensions between NATO and Russia, especially in light of the ongoing Russo-Ukrainian conflict (Mälksoo, 2024). Under pressure from both internal and external sources, NATO must change to remain relevant in a multipolar world.

2.2 Russo-Ukrainian Conflict

The Russo-Ukrainian war began in 2014 when Russia annexed Crimea, which elicited much international condemnation and sanctions. This incident was the start of a geopolitical crisis that is becoming more serious, as Russia's actions in Ukraine undermine international norms and territorial integrity (Kakhishvili & Felder, 2025). The annexation of Crimea was accompanied by Russian support for separatist movements in the eastern parts of Donetsk and Luhansk, resulting in military conflicts between the Ukrainian army and Russian-backed separatists. These events resulted in a long-lasting war, thousands of deaths, and millions of refugees. Despite several ceasefire agreements, including the Minsk agreements, warfare persisted, indicating how difficult it is to solve the conflict with the help of diplomacy (Bardakçi, 2025).

The war has been disastrous for Ukraine, as it has weakened its territorial integrity and sovereignty and destabilized the security situation in the region. The Russo–Ukrainian conflict has significant security implications for the world order, transforming geopolitical relations and making people reconsider strategic doctrines at the international level (Kapitonenko, 2024). Not only has this conflict changed the power dynamics of Europe, but it has also sucked numerous external players into the fray and, therefore, challenged and, in various places, compromised the already existing security arrangements that were currently in place prior to this intensification.

Furthermore, the operational assistance that NATO has been providing to Ukraine has fueled the conflict and its association, setting the stage for a further arms race and the need to reevaluate defensive positions at both the European and overall strategic levels. The war has also affected the world markets, especially in the energy and food markets, because Ukraine and Russia are the largest producers of natural gas, oil, and agricultural commodities. The effects of the war on the world economy have further worsened food and energy crises in most nations, which have further worsened the humanitarian crisis brought about by the war. The future implications of the Russo-Ukrainian conflict are yet to be seen, yet it is evident that it has transformed global geopolitics in a significant way, creating new alliances, security issues, and economic problems (Khan & Altalbe, 2023).

2.3 Global Security

Global security is a complex phenomenon that implies a number of issues that endanger the stability and safety of countries, regions, and the global community. It comprises military threats, economic instability, environmental crises, terrorism, cyber threats, and the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction. The concept of global security has, over the years, expanded to encompass non-traditional security concerns, including climate change, pandemics, and transnational organized crime. These issues are not confined to national boundaries and can only be dealt with through international collaboration to reduce the risks and avoid global conflict (Stănescu, 2024). Organizations such as the United Nations, NATO, and other regional alliances are at the forefront of ensuring peace through diplomacy, conflict resolution, peacekeeping, and collective defense. Global security is an interdependent and complicated area that requires a framework to address both state and non-state threats, with an emphasis on international cooperation and prevention (Uvere & Adiele, 2025).

Environmental problems, such as climate change, are increasingly viewed as threats to international security (Djansena et al., 2025). The adverse effects of climate change, such as rising sea levels, extreme weather, and resource scarcity, have the potential to exacerbate existing geopolitical tensions and create new security threats. The consequences of climate change, such as food and water scarcity, may lead to mass migrations and resource wars. Moreover, climate change disproportionately affects vulnerable regions, enhancing

socioeconomic inequalities and leading to humanitarian crises. These problems demonstrate the need for a complex approach to global security based on the integration of environmental, economic, and military factors (Likadja & Saudila, 2025). The causes of these security threats must be solved through international cooperation as environmental security becomes a priority area of concern in solving the causes of these security threats and promoting sustainable development (Zhang, 2025). Therefore, international security in the modern world requires a multidimensional approach to interconnected threats that characterize the current international order.

2.4 Theoretical Explication

This study is anchored on collective security theory, which is the foundation of the formation and operation of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO). Collective security theory, initially developed by organizations such as the League of Nations and later enhanced by NATO, believes that peace can be best maintained through collective agreements between states to prevent or deter aggression. NATO was established in 1949 through the Washington Treaty, which aimed to guarantee the security of its members by obligating them to defend one another. The main idea of the alliance is that an attack against one of its members is viewed as an attack against all of them, which is embedded in Article 5 of the Treaty.

The theory of collective security is based on the idea that the security of the international community is a common task, and no state can guarantee its own security alone, especially within the framework of the changing geopolitical landscape, characterized by an increasing number of threats, such as terrorism, cyberattacks, and territorial expansion (Ozodbekovich, 2025). The importance of collective security in this research is that it is applied to the actions of NATO in the context of the Russo-Ukrainian conflict, when the alliance's actions to resist Russian aggression are defined by the principle of collective defense (Siddiqui & Rahaman, 2023). Against this background of the Russo-Ukrainian conflict, this paper analyzes the impact of the use of collective security in NATO's response on the dynamics of global security. The collective defense efforts of the alliance, which are mainly aimed at deterrence and defense, as opposed to direct military intervention, have transformed global debates on defense cooperation and military alliances.

Collective security presupposes that countries will collaborate to avert war; however, the reaction to the Russo-Ukrainian war also shows the increased difficulties of implementing such systems in the face of aggressive state actors, such as Russia. The research question is whether collective security, as applied by NATO, is an effective deterrent against aggressive expansionism in a multipolar world and what changes may be required to the theory to make it applicable in the contemporary world of security (Orjan, 2021). The changing face of international security and the shortcomings of conventional collective defense raise serious questions about the future of NATO and its role in international crisis management.

3. Methodology

This paper adopts a qualitative research methodology to discuss the issues of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization, Russo-Ukrainian conflict, and global security. The secondary sources of data included textbooks, journal publications, newspapers, government publications, and international news outlets. These sources provided a detailed picture of the topic from various perspectives, including academic studies to live media coverage across the world. The secondary data made it possible to conduct a comprehensive search of the available literature and discourses on the role of NATO in the Russo-Ukrainian conflict, the effect of geopolitics, and the resultant impact on international security. The data were analyzed using the content analysis method and subjected to a systematic review to determine common themes, patterns, and conceptual frameworks.

This methodological approach provided pertinent information, which was then arranged in logical paragraphs in line with the major questions of the study. Content analysis helped to make the research theoretically sound and pragmatically compelling by extracting both overt and covert messages, allowing for a fine evaluation of NATO's strategic reactions to the conflict. The world of controversy with which

this qualitative approach studied the problem resulted in a complex understanding of the interrelations between NATO, the conflict between Russia and Ukraine, and international security.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1 How Has NATO's Strategic Response to the Russo-Ukrainian Conflict Influenced the Alliance's Cohesion and Global Security Objectives?

Since its inception, the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) has played a key role in transatlantic security, and the doctrine of collective defense has been its backbone. However, the 2022 Russian invasion of Ukraine has necessitated a complete reassessment and redirection of the NATO strategic posture. Not only has it undermined the cohesiveness of the alliance, but it has also revealed the weaknesses of its capacity to quickly accommodate the rapidly evolving security environment, forcing leaders to implement strategic responses that are far-reaching and have significant consequences for internal unity and the global security aspirations of NATO.

Strengthened Cohesion via Collective Defense: With the 2022 Russian invasion of Ukraine, NATO has quickly put its collective defense mechanisms into force, thus highlighting the importance of the alliance as a security provider to its member states and the region. The activation of the NATO Response Force (NRF), armed with approximately 40, 000 troops, was one of the most significant changes in modern security architecture and an unprecedented allocation in the framework of collective defense. In a strategic placement along the eastern line of NATO, that is, in Poland, Romania, and the Baltic States, such forces were used to discourage further Russian aggression and to assure the Eastern European allies of the alliance that it remained firmly dedicated to protecting them.

In addition, NATO has conducted widespread military drills, with the most recent being Defender Europe 2022, which brought together more than 28,000 people representing 26 member states. This massive exercise was used to improve the interoperability of the member states and to demonstrate that the alliance is ready to respond to a possible threat. These undertakings have not only increased NATO's defensive capability but have also facilitated increased integration among its members, especially those located in Eastern Europe, who view Russian activity as a direct threat to their existence. In addition to military responses, NATO's overall response has involved diplomatic and economic measures.

Member states condemned Russia's actions and imposed comprehensive sanctions to cripple the Russian economy and limit its capacity to sustain military operations. The European Union, the United States, and other allies imposed these sanctions on key areas, including energy, finance, and defense. The joint stance demonstrated that NATO was able to act collectively in response to foreign aggression, thus proving the principle of collective defense, as provided in Article 5 of the North Atlantic Treaty. Furthermore, NATO did not only provide sanctions to Ukraine; member states also provided military, intelligence, and humanitarian assistance, thereby strengthening the collective security system of the alliance.

The joint approach of the alliance also had considerable weight in its international security agenda. By demonstrating this unity, NATO not only managed to stop any further aggression by Russia but also reasserted itself as a pillar of international security. The collective response demonstrated the alliance's desire to protect the rules-based international order and showed that it could respond to new threats by acting collectively. In addition, NATO's role in this conflict supports the paramount significance of collective defense in maintaining the stability of the region and preventing the further development of conflicts with far-reaching consequences worldwide. To sum up, the strategic action taken by NATO over the Russo-Ukrainian conflict has greatly contributed to the unity of the alliance and simultaneously strengthened its overall security goals on an international platform, which has testified to the effectiveness of collective defense in responding to modern security challenges.

Strategic Adaptation and Global Security Goals: Since the 2022 Russian invasion of Ukraine, NATO has been undergoing a strategic recalibration toward a more confrontational posture. In 2022, the Strategic Concept explicitly focused on Russia as the most visible and immediate source of threat to the security of its allies, leading to an overall re-evaluation of defense priorities. This paradigm shift was cemented with the 2025 Hague Summit Declaration, in which the member states promised to devote 5% of their GDP to defense spending annually by 2035, with 3.5% of this total committing to core defense spending and the remaining 1.5% of the total going to ancillary defense spending. Such a pledge is an indicator of a changing security environment and the need to ensure that NATO develops a stronger deterrence capacity.

Strategic change also involved a significant increase in support for Ukraine. Initially, NATO offered only non-lethal assistance; however, as the war progressed, the alliance's assistance shifted to the supply of advanced weapons, intelligence, and training. This extensive assistance was intended to strengthen Ukrainian defenses and prevent further Russian aggression. The alliance also increased its alliances, including non-member states and other international bodies, to form a wider coalition against Russian aggression. These actions align with NATO 'sefforts to maintain the rules-based international order and contribute to international security governance.

Furthermore, the focus on the development of the defense industrial background and technological innovation has been regarded as a specific feature of NATO's strategic adaptation. The alliance has realized the need to modernize its military power to deal with emergent threats, such as cyber warfare and advanced missile systems. In this respect, efforts have been made to streamline the defense procurement process and enhance collaborative practices among member states so that the latest and best defense technologies can be developed and deployed in a timely manner. This innovation focus and industrial cooperation are intended to strengthen NATO's deterrence posture and its readiness to address future security challenges.

Threats to Cohesion and Strategic Unity: Although NATO has been working hard to project a united front in the face of the 2022 Russian invasion of Ukraine, internal issues have emerged that endanger the unity of the alliance. Differences in the threat perceptions of member states are one of the major problems. The Eastern European nations, especially those that share borders with Russia, consider the actions of Moscow as a direct and existential threat and support a strong and quick military reaction. To Western European observers, countries such as Italy and Spain are more concerned about regional security dynamics, including instability in the Mediterranean and the Sahel regions.

This increased alertness establishes some level of suspicion regarding the possibility of NATO's involvement in the affairs of Eastern Europe. Therefore, divergent threat evaluations make it difficult to reach a consensus in the alliance, which may slow down or weaken collective reactions. Furthermore, NATO decision-making is based on unanimity, and the existence of different national priorities may result in lengthy negotiations and, in some cases, a lack of action, which compromises the alliance's effectiveness in responding to emerging threats (Davidson, 2026).

Another issue is the difference in the amount of money spent on defense by member states, which affects the operational capabilities of NATO and burden-sharing arrangements. Although the alliance has established a target for members to spend 5% of their GDP on defense by 2035, not every country is on course to achieve this target. Spain, as a role model, has announced a plan to keep its military spending at 2.1% of GDP, which it attributes to budgetary pressures and other priorities in the country. An unequal distribution of defense expenditures may become a source of friction, as states that spend less on defense are seen to be taking advantage of the security system that high-spending states have put in place, particularly the United States. This unequal dedication would undermine relationships within alliances and raise questions about the effectiveness of the NATO collective defense system (Pollard & Embree, 2025).

In addition, political differences between NATO member states complicate its cohesion. The re-election of Donald Trump as U.S. president in 2025 has shifted the paradigm of the transactional type of alliance, with key aspects of burden-sharing and distrust of multilateral commitments. U.S. officials' statements concerning the supposed irrelevance of the alliance and burden-sharing terms have increased European anxieties about the sustainability of transatlantic unity. Such politicized procedures can undermine trust in the long-term reliability of the United States with NATO; therefore, European countries can consider increasing their own defense assets and decreasing their reliance on American leadership.

These changes in political will and strategic priorities will undermine the trust and unity that NATO needs to be effective when dealing with global security issues. In conclusion, NATO has reacted to the Russo-Ukrainian conflict strategically, and this has had a significant impact on the solidarity and security goals of the organization in the global arena. Although the alliance has been resilient and flexible, the obstacles that have been faced indicate the need to maintain continuous internal communication as well as strategic alignment. Since international security is rapidly changing, the ability of NATO to be cohesive and posture to threats as they arise will be a determinant of its future contribution to global security.

4.2 In what ways has the Russo-Ukrainian conflict reshaped global power dynamics, with implications for international conflict resolution mechanisms?

The Russo-Ukrainian war has greatly shifted the balance of power in the world, and the mechanism of international conflict resolution must be rethought. This war, which started with the Russian invasion of Ukraine in 2022, has not only escalated geopolitical tensions but has also demonstrated the inefficiencies and failures of the existing frameworks that are supposed to maintain international peace and security. The war has led to a re-shuffling of alliances, a re-consideration of military strategies, and a re-affirmation of the principles on which international law and diplomacy are founded.

Shift in International Alliances and Power Balance: The Russo-Ukrainian war has significantly altered the power balance in the world, and international conflict resolution systems have to be revisited. This war, which started with the Russian invasion of Ukraine in 2022, has not only escalated geopolitical tensions but has also demonstrated the inefficiencies and failures of the existing frameworks that are supposed to maintain international peace and security. The war has led to a re-shuffling of the alliances, a re-consideration of military strategies, and a re-affirmation of the principles on which international law and diplomacy are founded.

The invasion has triggered a restructuring of international relations, as nations review their strategic relationships and security strategies. NATO has been revived, with member states strengthening their pledges to collective defense and spending more on the military. A common goal of fighting Russian aggression and defending the sovereignty of Ukraine has strengthened the unity of the alliance. In contrast, Russia's activities have put the country at loggerheads with Western countries, resulting in economic sanctions and isolation. This has drawn Russia closer to China, creating a strategic alliance that threatens the current international order dominated by the West (Financial Times, 2025). The United States has also rebalanced its foreign policy, reasserting its leadership in NATO and reinforcing its relationships with European allies to offset Russian and Chinese influence.

The war has exposed the inadequacy of traditional conflict resolution mechanisms, particularly the United Nations Security Council (UNSC), in which Russia has been in a position to exercise its veto power to prevent decisive action. The inability of the UNSC to effectively respond to the crisis has led to the need to reform international institutions to respond to contemporary security challenges. The war has also demonstrated the importance of multilateral diplomacy and the need for an inclusive dialogue with all stakeholders to achieve sustainable peace. The increasing trend of the international community to resort to alternative forums and coalitions to mediate and resolve conflicts is a sign of a more flexible and responsive mechanism.

Implications on International Conflict Resolution Mechanisms: The Russo-Ukrainian conflict has highlighted some of the most significant loopholes in traditional international conflict resolution mechanisms, particularly in the United Nations Security Council (UNSC). The UNSC was established to maintain international peace and security but has been rendered ineffective in resolving crises on numerous occasions due to the veto power of the five permanent members, of which Russia is a member. This is a remnant of the post-World War II order that allows one member to veto substantive action, including resolutions that would respond to Russian aggression in Ukraine.

For instance, Russia has used its veto power on multiple occasions to prevent the UNSC from criticizing its military actions in Ukraine or sanctioning it in any meaningful way (Hurd, 2024). This situation demonstrates that the format of UNSC work is becoming increasingly irrelevant to the geopolitical reality in which a permanent member can veto actions that are necessary to maintain world peace. The inability to take decisive steps to counter Russia's aggression has resulted in many analysts doubting the legitimacy and effectiveness of the UNSC's decision-making process in the new era. The failure of the UNSC has led to the increased application of other conflict-resolution instruments, particularly multilateral diplomacy outside the United Nations.

Owing to the failure of the UNSC and Russia's veto, the international community has resorted to other diplomatic forums, such as the European Union, the G7, and the Coalition of the Willing, to solve the crisis in Ukraine. These other coalitions have provided member states with a platform to harmonize their efforts in sanctioning Russia, providing military assistance to Ukraine, and providing diplomatic assistance to the Ukrainian government. Notably, the G7 nations, which are not bound by the veto system of the UNSC, have been playing a significant role in coordinating their policies, whereas the European Union has been at the forefront of imposing economic sanctions on Russia, with the aim of weakening its capacity to sustain the war. The growing popularity of these coalitions shows that the shift towards rejecting traditional, hierarchical approaches to conflict resolution and adopting more flexible, dynamic models that can be applied to address complex, modern security challenges is underway.

Furthermore, the failure of the UNSC and the application of other tools have necessitated changes to international institutions to make them more effective in dealing with modern conflicts. Scholars argue that the veto system of the UNSC, which grants too much power to a few states, undermines the legitimacy of the Council and its ability to respond to crises that threaten international security. Reform proposals have been put forward to expand the number of permanent members to reflect the existing power structure, such as the inclusion of countries such as India, Brazil, and Japan, which reflect the shift in economic and political power in the world.

However, these reforms are difficult to achieve because of the resistance of the current permanent members, who fear to lose their privileged positions. This discussion indicates that international governance mechanisms need to be more inclusive and representative to address global security issues in a manner that is representative of the current geopolitical realities. As the world is increasingly becoming complex and multidimensional, it is clear that the existing international system, represented by the UNSC, must be reformed to a large extent to adapt to the evolving nature of global power relations.

4.3 How Has NATO Expansion in Eastern Europe Contributed to the Escalation of Tensions with Russia and Affected Future Security in the Region?

The eastward expansion of NATO has played a critical role in the rise in tensions between the alliance and Russia, which has had significant implications on the security dynamics in the region. While NATO claims that its expansion is a source of stability and democratic principles in Eastern Europe, Russia views this expansion as a direct threat to its sphere of influence and national security. This difference in views has

resulted in a complicated interaction of strategic, political, and military processes that still defines the security of the region.

The Expansion of NATO and the Strategic Reaction of Russia: The expansion of NATO into Eastern Europe has played a major role in increasing the tension between the alliance and Russia, which has changed the security situation in the region. NATO enlargement began after the Cold War, and the first countries to join it were former Warsaw Pact countries, including Poland, Hungary, and the Czech Republic. This eastward expansion was viewed by Russia as a betrayal of informal promises made in the course of negotiations that resulted in the reunification of Germany, when Western leaders allegedly promised that NATO would not expand by even an inch to the east. Although these assurances were never made official in a treaty, they have been a mainstay of Russian complaints. The growth of NATO was regarded as a strategic encroachment that weakened Russia's sphere of influence in its near abroad and has been central to its increasingly confrontational posture (Smith, 2025).

This feeling of NATO encroachment on its borders has informed Russian policy, especially its military actions in countries that were formerly part of the Soviet sphere, including Georgia in 2008 and Ukraine in 2014 and 2022. Russia has reacted to the eastward expansion of NATO by adopting a more aggressive foreign policy to counter what it perceives as the efforts by the West to undermine its influence in the region. The direct results of NATO expansion have been the annexation of Crimea in 2014 and the full-scale invasion of Ukraine in 2022, which are direct results of changes to Russian security policy. Russia has justified these actions by claiming that they are in the national security interests of the nation and are intended to prevent further expansion of NATO.

As Yun (2023) has argued, the conflict in Ukraine is a consequence of the Russian desire to maintain a buffer zone of influence between the borders of NATO and its own. Russia is attempting to restore its presence in the region by refusing Ukraine the right to join NATO and by occupying Crimea and parts of eastern Ukrainian territories to demonstrate to NATO and the West the red lines it is willing to defend. This response has led to further militarization of the region, as NATO and Russia have sent more troops to Eastern Europe, raising the risk of unintentional escalation.

Furthermore, NATO expansion has militarized the region, particularly through the enhanced forward presence (EFP), which involves the deployment of multinational battle groups in Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland, Romania, and Bulgaria. These military deployments, intended to reassure Eastern European members and deter Russian aggression, have been perceived by Moscow as provocative actions, further deteriorating the relationship between NATO and Russia. This has been accompanied by an increase in military exercises and the establishment of permanent military bases on NATO's eastern flank.

Russia considers such activities as direct threats to its territorial integrity; therefore, the Kremlin is increasing its own military presence along the western borders. Such an escalation of military activity raises the risk of miscalculations and unintentional confrontations, especially in important regions, such as the Suwalki Gap, which is a major transit point between the eastern members of NATO and the rest of the alliance. The current military escalation has led to an unstable security situation, in which the risk of conflict is high, particularly as NATO continues to expand into regions that Russia views as its sphere of influence. Therefore, the eastward expansion of NATO has been instrumental in transforming the security landscape in the region, with far-reaching consequences for the future of NATO-Russia relations, in an effort to reclaim control over its near abroad and avert further NATO expansion.

Regional Security Dynamics: The Enhanced Forward Presence (eFP), inaugurated by the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) in 2016, is an important redefinition of security policy aimed at restraining the probability of Russian aggression toward Eastern European nations. The military center of this program includes multinational and battalion-leveled combat troops that are strategically placed in Estonia, Latvia,

Lithuania, Poland, Romania, and Bulgaria. Although the official story of the deployment by NATO has focused on the deployment as a defensive device designed to protect the member states and maintain collective security, the Russian government has interpreted the same deployment as an existential threat to its zone of influence and the very existence of its national security. These discrepant interpretations have had a significant impact on the structure of regional security relationships, including increased militarization, increased likelihood of unintended escalation, and a more complex geopolitical landscape.

Militarization and Escalation Risks: Following the establishment of eFP battlegroups, a significant increase in military operations has been observed along the eastern NATO flank. Joint exercises, such as Defender Europe and Iron Wolf, are strategically integrated into these deployments to enhance interoperability and bolster the readiness of allied contingents. However, these efforts are perceived as provocative by Russian leadership, and the buildup of troops along NATO's western borders, accompanied by an increase in the number of exercises along the eastern seaboard, represents another trigger that elevates the risk of unintended escalation. The militarization of the disputed zone serves as yet another factor that increases the possibility of unintended escalation, a risk substantiated by recent events involving close encounters between NATO and Russian forces, as well as cyberattacks and disinformation campaigns.

A small stretch of land, the Suwalki Gap, which runs through Poland and Lithuania, has become a center of concern due to its capture, which will significantly disrupt supply lines connecting the eastern members of NATO to the rest of the alliance. Further, the deployment of Russian troops in Kaliningrad and Belarus only worsens the security situation by allowing the rapid deployment and possible seclusion of the Baltic states in the NATO region. The strategic importance of the Suwalki Gap is that it is the only land route between the Baltic countries and the territory of NATO. This is a corridor between Kaliningrad and Belarus and may develop into a hotspot in case of increased aggression.

In recent years, Russia has conducted military exercises in the region, such as the Union Resolve series, which have rehearsed scenarios of the rapid occupation of the Suwalki Gap. Combined with the use of sophisticated weaponry, such as Iskander missiles and S-400 air defense systems in Kaliningrad, these exercises indicate that Russia has the ability to impede NATO access to the Baltic states. The weakness of the Suwalki Gap has led NATO to reinforce its defensive posture, deploying permanent military installations in Poland and increasing troop numbers in Lithuania. However, these measures have not dispelled fears of a fast Russian invasion that will cut off NATO access to the Baltic countries and thus undermine the credibility and commitment of the alliance to collective defense.

The NATO Enhanced Forward Presence has truly changed the security framework of Eastern Europe by bringing with it the elements of deterrence and, ironically, destabilization. Although the deployment is supposed to provide assurance to the member states and discourage aggressive actions, it has caused further militarization and an increased risk of unwanted escalation. The strategic value of the Suwak Gap makes the security situation even more difficult, as it may turn into a flashpoint in case of hostilities. Therefore, NATO should be willing to engage Russia in future security issues and minimize the risk of war. Confidence-building steps, openness in the work of military forces, and tension reduction will be necessary to maintain regional stability and uphold the principles of collective defense.

In summary, the expansion of NATO to Eastern Europe is one of the key factors that contributed to the escalation of conflict between the alliance and Russia, and the consequences of such expansion affect the security of the region on a grand scale. While NATO understands its expansion as a tool for enforcing stability and democracy, Russia perceives it as a threat to its sphere of influence and state security. Such a gap in perceptions has led to a complicated security situation, including military buildup, strategic tensions, and a high risk of war. Future measures of dialogue and confidence-building should engage all parties to reduce tensions and create a stable and secure European security order.

4.4 How Can Safeguards Be Implemented Within Political Alliances to Ensure Consistent Collective Security During Transitions of Power, Particularly in Cases Where Political Will or Priorities Change, As Seen in the Shift from Biden to Trump?

Changes in leadership in political alliances can cause changes in the priorities of policies, which may endanger the continuity of collective security. A change in power, especially in a democratic country, can bring in new leadership that is different in terms of approaches or political will from the former regime. Such a phenomenon has been noted in several instances, and the case of the United States, where President Biden was replaced by former President Trump, has led to the emergence of changes in domestic and foreign policies. These transitions bring significant concerns about how political alliances can be stable and secure during times of uncertainty. Safeguards are needed to ensure that collective security is not lost due to these fluctuations.

Among the most important protections are transparent mechanisms of continuity in the commitment of alliances, irrespective of political change. Brøgger (2025) explains that such mechanisms may involve legally binding commitments that force countries to respect their collective security commitments, even during political upheaval. For instance, mutual defense agreements in the case of NATO are meant to ensure that no member of the organization can withdraw or alter its position on security, despite a change in leadership. Establishing such legal frameworks will allow alliances to guarantee that political transitions do not interfere with common security objectives, with long-term priorities in mind.

Another form of protection is the development of strong diplomatic relations that will enable communication among the alliance members in the event of political changes. The development of dialogue between leaders and policymakers will help to ensure that the security priorities are aligned and that any sudden changes in policy can be addressed. According to Nouwen, Van Hattum, Driesen, and Van Regenmortel (2024), frequent meetings and consultations among the members of the alliance, particularly following an election or a change in leadership, can avoid misunderstandings and promote cooperation to uphold collective security commitments. Such interactions are vital to ensure that no individual member state can unilaterally modify the course of an alliance's security agenda.

Moreover, alliances can establish institutional protection that establishes continuity through bureaucracy. Such institutions as permanent committees or security coordination institutions can help reduce the effects of leadership change on security policies. In this respect, bureaucratic organizations that are permanent and not dependent on political leadership guarantee that the priorities of security are maintained even when there is a change in leadership in the country. Bureaucratic continuity serves as a cushion against the vagaries of political change and has a stabilizing effect that does not jeopardize collective security.

Finally, alliances must invest in building good relationships with domestic institutions, including military and intelligence agencies, that are not affected by political changes. Such institutions also possess long-term knowledge and expertise that are essential for maintaining national and collective security. These relationships can be strengthened so that alliances can ensure that, despite changes in leadership, security is informed by strategic and consistent policies. For example, the United States security strategy during the transition between Trump and Biden shows how crucial it is to ensure continuity in terms of military and intelligence community participation, even in the case of new administrations with different priorities.

In summary, collective security in political alliances during periods of power transition should be secured in a multifaceted way. Alliances can guarantee stability in security and ensure that political changes do not jeopardize cooperative defense by implementing legal frameworks, diplomatic interactions, institutional continuity, and enhanced relations with domestic security organizations. These protections are necessary to ensure long-term security goals through political transitions, as witnessed in the transition from Trump to Biden. The institutionalization of these strategies will enable political alliances to negotiate power changes without jeopardizing their collective security commitments.

4.5 Major Findings

1) NATO's strategic reaction to the Russo-Ukrainian conflict has strengthened the alliance's unity by focusing on collective defense, particularly the use of multinational battle groups in Eastern Europe. This move has increased the trust of member states in NATO 'ssecurity commitment, particularly in Estonia, Latvia, and Poland, which consider Russia as an existential threat. Nevertheless, NATO has internal problems of coordinating various national interests because nations perceive threats differently and have varying priorities in defense, especially between the Eastern and Western members
2) The expansion of NATO, especially the incorporation of Eastern European states, has greatly increased the tension between NATO and Russia, which considers the expansion of NATO as a violation of its sphere of influence. Russia views this as a strategic threat, which has resulted in increased military posturing and interventions, such as the annexation of Crimea in 2014 and the invasion of Ukraine in 2022. This reaction brings out the geopolitical rivalry between NATO and Russia and the overall effects of the NATO enlargement policy on regional security relations
3) The war has demonstrated the inefficiency of traditional conflict resolution mechanisms, especially the United Nations Security Council (UNSC). The veto power has allowed Russia to block any significant resolutions to the conflict, thereby undermining the ability of the UNSC to address global security matters. In response, other diplomatic efforts have been formulated, including coalitions, such as the G7 and the Coalition of the Willing, which have been more active in sanctioning and providing military and diplomatic support to Ukraine. This transformation is a sign that international institutions need to be reformed to improve their performance.
4) The influence of top political leadership in having the political will to run faster or slower than others has been demonstrated in the transition from Democratic to Republican leadership in America, where Trump did not continue at the same pace as Biden. There is a need to insulate such influences to ensure that the objective of collective security is not jeopardized by a change in leadership.

5. Conclusions

5.1 Conclusion

Based on the findings of the present study, one can say that NATO expansion to Eastern Europe has significantly affected the security situation in the region and resulted in the emergence of tensions with Russia. The alliance's joint security, implemented by the Enhanced Forward Presence (EFP) deployments, to prevent aggression has not only made NATO members in the region feel secure but also made Russia feel more insecure. This has led to increased militarization of the region and increased military standoffs. In particular, the Suwalki Gap has turned into a strategic vulnerability of the eastern defense system of NATO, which has complicated the security situation.

The risk of unintended escalation is even more pronounced because of the increasing closeness of the military forces of both NATO and Russia, as well as the increase in cyberattacks and disinformation campaigns, which have become an inseparable part of modern warfare. In addition, the study shows that the military reactions of Russia to the expansion of NATO are preconditioned by its understanding of the actions of the latter as a direct threat to its sphere of influence. The annexation of Crimea in 2014 and the full-scale invasion of Ukraine in 2022 are regarded as direct consequences of NATO expansion, as Russia attempts to regain control over its near abroad. The growing NATO military presence in Eastern Europe, including the stationing of multinational battle groups and military exercises such as Defender Europe, has angered Moscow, prompting it to reinforce its military forces along its western borders, which is an indicator of a new era of strategic confrontation. Although the actions of NATO are presented as defensive, they have led to a major military buildup on both sides, resulting in a tense situation in which the threat of war remains high.

In this regard, the Russo-Ukrainian conflict has become a driving force for transforming the global security landscape and has strengthened the role of collective security systems. However, this study shows the

shortcomings of the NATO strategy in dealing with the tensions that have emerged as a result of its expansion into Eastern Europe. The war highlights the necessity of improved dialogue, confidence-building measures, and reforms in international conflict resolution mechanisms to avoid further escalation. With the ongoing changes in the global power structures, the role of NATO in maintaining regional stability and dealing with emerging security challenges, both conventional and non-conventional, will play a pivotal role in future security arrangements.

Collective security as a theoretical framework, despite being the core of the NATO mission, is becoming increasingly difficult to respond to complex and changing geopolitical realities. Therefore, NATO needs to review its policies and adjust to the new security environment to sustain peace and stability in the region and beyond. There is a need to reform NATO that can insulate it from the lack of political will by alliance members when there is a change of guard at the helm of leadership. This is demonstrated by the recall of the much-needed Patriot missile interceptors that have already been sent to Poland for onward dispatch to Ukraine. This has encouraged Putin to launch devastating attacks on Ukraine.

5.2 Research Limitations

This study is based on secondary data from journal articles, government publications, and international news sources, which may lack firsthand insights from key policymakers or NATO officials. The qualitative design, focusing on content analysis, could lead to subjective interpretations, particularly when evaluating NATO's strategic responses and the effectiveness of conflict management tools. Furthermore, the research primarily focuses on the military and political aspects of NATO's expansion and the Russo-Ukrainian conflict, which may overlook socioeconomic consequences and perspectives from non-state actors. Additionally, the study takes a Western-centric view of NATO's actions, which may not fully represent Russian perspectives on NATO's enlargement. The analysis also does not account for the dynamic nature of international relations, as geopolitical alliances are constantly shifting. As the conflict and global security dynamics continue to evolve, the conclusions of this study may be subject to change, requiring a future reassessment of NATO's strategies and their long-term impact on global security.

5.3 *Suggestions and Directions for Future Research*

In conclusion, the following recommendations were offered:

1) Enhance confidence-building measures: To reduce the danger of unintended escalation, NATO should increase dialogue and confidence-building measures with Russia and non-NATO members. This would include making military operations more transparent, creating communication lines to avoid miscalculations, and building mutual trust by conducting joint military exercises aimed at de-escalation. A proactive approach will prevent the militarization of the region and lead to improved cooperation, which will decrease the possibility of conflict.
2) Reconsidering the Eastern Expansion Strategy of NATO: NATO must reconsider its Eastern Expansion Strategy with respect to the security concerns of Russia. A slower and more open procedure would assist in striking a balance between NATO's security goals and Russia's desire to have stability in the region. Priority should be given to diplomacy and interaction with Russia on the future enlargement of NATO to decrease tensions and avoid further militarization. The alliance must ensure that the enlargement process is inclusive and that it takes into consideration the Western and Eastern views on security.
3) There is a need to reform international conflict resolution mechanisms. This study recommends reforms in international conflict resolution mechanisms, especially the UNSC, to respond to contemporary security issues. The reformation of the veto system in the UNSC and the strengthening of multilateral diplomatic structures would allow crises, such as the Russo-Ukrainian conflict, to be responded to faster and more efficiently. NATO may promote such changes, collaborating with international partners to make international institutions more responsive and flexible to modern threats

4) There is a need to establish safeguards that can check the lack of political will or the inability to run faster or slower by alliance members when there is a change of guards, as is happening after Biden handed over to Trump. This can. This can threaten collective security.

References

Alemu, M. G. (2025). Genocide and political destruction in Tigray: The imperative for systemic transformation. *Journal of Governance and Accountability Studies, 4*(2), 147-164. doi:10.35912/jgas.v4i2.2323

Bardakçi, M. (2025). Rusya-Ukrayna Savaşı ve Avrupa Güvenliğine Etkileri. *Bölgesel Araştırmalar Dergisi, 9*(1), 40-61.

Blackburn, M. (2025). Reacting to a geopolitical setback: NATO expansion in Sweden and Finland through the lens of Russian geopolitical culture. *European Journal of International Security*, 1-21. doi:https://doi.org/10.1017/eis.2025.10019

Brøgger, T. E. (2025). A 'Europe of defence'? The establishment of binding commitments and supranational governance in European security and defence. *Journal of European Integration, 47*(3), 403-422. doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/07036337.2024.2379421

Cottey, A. (2025). NATO and the Russia–Ukraine war: geopolitics, ideology, and the new politics of the alliance. *Defence Studies, 25*(4), 800-824. doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/14702436.2025.2562982

Davidson, J. W. (2026). *NATO After Russia's Invasion of Ukraine: Threat Perceptions and Their Consequences*: Georgetown University Press.

Djansena, A., Yusman, F., Sembiring, H., Parwata, I. N., Midhio, I. W., Yusgiantoro, P., . . . Kartiningsih, Y. (2025). On the verge of nuclear war: Global security challenges and dynamics in maintaining world peace. *Journal of Social, Humanity, and Education, 5*(3), 239-253. doi:https://doi.org/10.35912/jshe.v5i3.2908

Fihel, A., Homel, K., Jaroszewicz, M., Lesińska, M., & Bentz, A.-S. (2025). Diaspora at war: mobilization of the Ukrainian diaspora in the first 2 years of the Russian full-scale invasion. *Journal of refugee studies, 38*(3), 704-721. doi:https://doi.org/10.1093/jrs/feaf006

Hardt, H. (2024). NATO after the invasion of Ukraine: How the shock changed alliance cohesion. *International Politics*, 1-32. doi:https://doi.org/10.1057/s41311-024-00629-x

Helferich, J. (2025). Trapped in the grey zone: NATO-CSDP relations in a new era of European security governance. *International Politics, 62*(5), 1070-1083. doi:https://doi.org/10.1057/s41311-024-00647-9

Hurd, I. (2024). *International organizations: Politics, law, practice*: Cambridge University Press.

Kakhishvili, L., & Felder, A. (2025). The Russian invasion of Ukraine and changes in MEPs' conceptions of security. *International Politics, 62*(5), 1084-1096. doi:https://doi.org/10.1057/s41311-024-00646-w

Kapitonenko, M. (2024). How the Russian-Ukrainian war is transforming international system and international order. *Actual Problems of International Relations, 1*(158), 26-35. doi:https://doi.org/10.17721/apmv.2024.158.1.26-35

Khan, A. R., & Altalbe, A. (2023). Potential impacts of Russo-Ukraine conflict and its psychological consequences among Ukrainian adults: the post-COVID-19 era. *Frontiers in public health, 11*, 1280423. doi:https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2023.1280423

Kunertova, D., & Schmitt, O. (2025). Assessing NATO's cohesion: methods and implications: D. Kunertova and O. Schmitt. *International Politics, 62*(5), 1097-1110. doi:https://doi.org/10.1057/s41311-024-00641-1

Larsen, H. (2025). Aligning NATO's partnerships with contemporary world politics. *Defence Studies, 25*(3), 723-730. doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/14702436.2025.2474067

Likadja, J. A. C., & Saudila, N. D. I. (2025). Violation of human rights and The Jurisdiction of The International Criminal Court. *Dynamics of Politics and Democracy, 2*(2), 101-110. doi:https://doi.org/10.35912/dpd.v2i2.3281

Mälksoo, M. (2024). NATO's new front: deterrence moves eastward. *International Affairs, 100*(2), 531-547. doi:https://doi.org/10.1093/ia/iiae008

Nouwen, C., Van Hattum, M., Driesen, I., & Van Regenmortel, T. (2024). Multiple alliances and client participation during joint client meetings: an observational study. *European Social Work Research, 2*(3), 294-311. doi:https://doi.org/10.1332/27551768Y2024D000000020

Orjan, R. I. (2021). NATO in Search for a New Identity. From Collective Defense to Collective Security. *Analele Universitatii „Constantin Brancusi" din Targu Jiu–Seria Litere si Stiinte Sociale*(02), 51-58.

Ozodbekovich, T. Q. (2025). Uzbekistan's initiatives to ensure peace and stability in Central Asia, raising relations of friendship and cooperation with neighboring states to a new level. *Dynamics of Politics and Democracy, 4*(2), 1-9. doi:https://doi.org/10.35912/dpd.v4i2.2952

Pollard, M., & Embree, J. (2025). NATO's Underspending Problem: America's Allies Must Embrace Fair Burden Sharing.

Shahbaz, K., & Muzaffar, M. (2025). The ripple effects of the Russia-Ukraine war on south Asian economies: Trade, energy, and inflation in a geopolitical crisis. *Pakistan Social Sciences Review, 9*(3), 20-35. doi:https://doi.org/10.35484/pssr.2025(9-III)03

Siddiqui, M. S. H., & Rahaman, M. A. (2023). The 2022 Russian Invasion of Ukraine: Analysing Collective Security Debate in the Post-Pandemic Era. *Social Science Review, 40*(2), 185-204. doi:https://doi.org/10.3329/ssr.v40i2.72205

Smith, M. A. (2025). NATO, Russia and the failure of "partnership" before 2022. *Defence Studies, 25*(4), 779-799. doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/14702436.2025.2562980

Stănescu, M. (2024). Enhancing global security through international cooperation. Navigating the multifaceted advantages, limits and challenges. *Monitor Strategic, 1*(2), 62-71.

Szőke, J., & Kusica, K. (2023). Military assistance to Ukraine and its significance in the Russo-Ukrainian War. *Social Sciences, 12*(5), 294. doi:https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci12050294

Uvere, I. E., & Adiele, I. J. (2025). Global Challenges To Statehood: The Growing Influence Of Non-State Actors. *Multidisciplinary Journal of Law, Education and Humanities, 2*(1), 53-65.

Yun, J. (2023). Security Threats and Military Strategic Factors in the Russo–Ukrainian War: Focusing on the Russia's Identification of Greater Eurasianism and the Instability of the Buffer Zone. *Pacific Focus, 38*(2), 234-256. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/pafo.12228

Zhang, T. (2025). A conceptual framework for analysis of environmental security and development based on source and flow concepts. *Scientific Reports, 15*(1), 14935. doi:https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-025-99532-5