Macroeconomic factor, firm characteristics and inventory holding in Nigeria: A quantile regression approach

Chinedu Francis Egbunike¹, Patricia Chinyere Oranefo² Department of Accountancy, Faculty of Management Sciences, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Nigeria^{1&2}

 $cf.egbunike@unizik.edu.ng^{1}$, pc.oranefo@unizik.edu.ng²

Abstract



Article History

Received on 6 April 2022 1st Revision on 23 April 2022 2nd Revision on 10 August 2022 2nd Revision on 17 August 2022 Accepted on 23 August 2022 **Purpose:** Prior studies show that inventory holding is closely linked to liquidity and procyclical dependent on the combination of macroeconomic and firm characteristics. Thus, conditional linear factor models such as OLS should fail to explain the inventory-holding motive, especially in the context of developing countries. This study seeks to empirically investigate the determinants of corporate inventory holding based on evidence from pharmaceutical companies in Nigeria.

Research methodology: The study adopts the ex post facto research design. The final sample was eight pharmaceutical & healthcare firms quoted on the Nigerian Stock Exchange (NSE). The data were analysed using the quantile regression technique.

Results: The results showed that the inflation rate had a positive effect on the inventory holding distribution at upper quantiles (75^{th}) ; and, the cash conversion cycle on the inventory holding was significant at different quantiles $(25^{th}, 50^{th} \text{ and } 75^{th})$. Profitability and liquidity were non-significant at different quantile distributions.

Limitations: The focus on pharmaceutical firms limits the generalizability of the study findings to other sectors of the economy.

Contributions: The study contributes to the literature in the context of developing countries, on the impact of varying firm characteristics and inflation rates on the different conditional distribution of the regressand, i.e., inventory holding.

Keywords: Inventory Holding, Firm Characteristics, Cash Conversion Cycle, Quantile Regression

How to Cite: Egbunike, C. F., & Oranefo, P. C. (2023). Macroeconomic factor, firm characteristics and inventory holding in Nigeria: A quantile regression approach. *International Journal of Financial, Accounting, and Management*, 5(1), 1-17.

1. Introduction

Inventories are a significant part of the assets of manufacturing companies. Inventory holding is therefore the extent to which corporations hold or keep inventories prior to disposal. "Inventory, accounts for one of the significant variables in management accounting, and a significant proportion of the assets of a firm" (Kurtuluş, Nakkas, & Ülkü, 2014). More than 35% of the firm's total assets and around 50% of current liabilities are represented by inventory holding (Kurtuluş et al., 2014). Firms adopt different inventory holding strategies depending on the business cycle and rate of fluctuations in supply disruptions (Saithong & Luong, 2022). The importance of holding inventory was recently documented in the study by Okeke, Okere, Dafyak, and Abiahu (2022), which documented evidence that inventory levels as shown by the cash conversion cycle were positively correlated with financial health. Another research by Isaksson and Seifert (2014), revealed that the

relationship between variations in inventory holding and short-term changes in corporate profits is 'U-shaped'. Prior related studies on the subject of inventory holding have employed different indicators to examine the effect of firm characteristics on inventory holding. These metrics include firm financial profitability, firm size, duration of the cash conversion cycle, and firm growth.

The key object of managing corporate inventory is to find an optimal inventory operational level (Okeke *et al.*, 2022), which includes the carrying (holding) costs and the cost of ordering. In seeking an optimal inventory point given by the Economic Order Quantity (EOQ), there is a need to minimize the trade-off between holding costs and ordering costs that become peremptory. However, under uncertain conditions, it is expected that firms maintain a cushion to hedge against possible future stock-outs which are best recommended during inflationary and economically unstable economies. Increasing the level of inventory holding would increase the costs associated with it (Govindasamy & Antonidoss, 2022; Wang *et al.*, 2022). This would have the concomitant effect of tying up the capital of the firm (Wang *et al.*, 2022).

Studies by authors, such as Alessandria, Kaboski, and Midrigan (2010); Jones and Tuzel (2013); Lai, Ren, and Robb (2014); Belo and Lin (2012); Cornaggia (2013) utilize the inventory conversion period to measure inventory management.

According to Onawumi and Oluleye (2011), the non-inclusion of conflicting economic and business cycle fluctuations has hindered the realization of the optimal quantity of production using the classical EOQ model. Thus, the inventory holding decision is determined by cyclical fluctuations, occasioned by economic and business trends. In this sense, macro-and-micro uncertainties must be considered to determine inventory holding. In related studies by Blinder and Maccini (1991a); Blinder and Maccini (1991b) the authors found that during recessions, inventory investments decline drastically suggesting that the external economic environment plays a very crucial role in inventory management investment. Other scholars have shown that the gross domestic product growth rate affects profitability and consequently the investment in working capital of which inventory is part (Egbunike & Okerekeoti, 2018). For instance, utilizing a Bayesian time-varying VAR method, Benati and Lubik (2014) find a positive association between inventory holding and interest rates. Their argument was premised on the suggestion that interest rate shocks may lead to an upward or downward change in inventory holding but also hinges upon the recessionary effect. Maccini, Moore, and Schaller (2004) find that corporate inventory holding tends to be lower when the economy is experiencing a regime of high-interest rates. The authors presented a framework consistent with a regime-switching effect of interest rate and inventory holding. Using a sample of Spanish firms, García-Teruel and Martínez-Solano (2007) found a positive association between shortening the days of inventory holding and the return on assets. This was also confirmed in the study by Padachi (2006) on a sample of Malaysian firms.

Yet other scholars have also found a link between inflation rate and firm performance ((Enqvist, Graham, & Nikkinen, 2014); (Shi, Zhu, & Yang, 2016)). According to Soukhakian and Khodakarami (2019), the inflation rate is an indicator of purchasing power of an economy's currency. The authors further stated that the rate of volatility of this measure indicates the extent of stability of the national currency. The inflation rate, therefore, affects the managerial policy by increasing the prices of productive inputs, e.g. raw materials inherently affecting production volumes (Soukhakian & Khodakarami, 2019). Additionally, the persistent rise in prices may also negatively deter customers from sustained purchase inflation thereby affecting the ability to pay and increasing trade credit (Golverdi & Mehrabanpour, 2017). The management may also accumulate more finished goods to take advantage of price increases thereby increasing the inventory turnover period (Soukhakian & Khodakarami, 2019). Prior studies have documented varying results from a developing country context. For instance, Egbunike and Okerekeoti (2018) found a significant positive effect of inflation rate on ROA; while interest and exchange rate had a non-significant negative association with ROA of the firms in Nigeria. Using empirical data from Kenya, Kiganda (2014) showed that selected macroeconomic factors such as the real GDP, exchange and inflation rates have an insignificant effect

on bank profitability in Kenya. Thus, economic factors exert pressure on the financial operations and consequently inventory decisions of quoted manufacturing firms. According to Guariglia and Mateut (2010), financial factors play a crucial and decisive role in inventory investment. Lv (2014) in his study explained that firms accounting performance of return on the total assets are the only significant factor that may influence firms' level of inventory holding. But other variables such as capital structure, firm size, the board size, level of cash holdings and capital investment were found to have a significant relationship in determining the effects of inventory holding on corporate firm performance ((Disatnik, Duchin, & Schmidt, 2014); (Garcia-Appendini & Montoriol-Garriga, 2013); (Xu, Xue, & Che, 2012); (Yang, Yang, & Wu, 2015); (Abrahamsen & Hartwig, 2011); (Bolton, Chen, & Wang, 2011); (Zhang & Chen, 2010)).

Therefore, existing prior studies have documented mixed results on the relationship between firms' inventory holding and corporate performance. The study's main contribution to the literature on inventory holding in healthcare firms lies in its exploration of macroeconomic and firm factors that impact the level of inventory holding of such firms. Employing different control variables, some research studies have established a positive relationship, such as studies by Bolton et al. (2011); Eroglu and Hofer (2011); Gill, Biger, and Mathur (2010); Mathuva (2010); while other studies have established a negative relationship such as Pong and Mitchell (2012); Nikolov and Whited (2014), Rao, Yue, and Jiang (2016), etc. Hence, this research study seeks to identify key factors which would influence corporate decisions on how much of its scarce funds should be invested in inventories. The study responds to the call by Yazdanfar and Öhman (2014) using a sample of Swedish firms but called for research along this line in other countries.

Secondly, several studies in developing countries do not employ or consider the macroeconomic environment. Following studies by Soukhakian and Khodakarami (2019), and Mathuva (2010) this study examines both firm-level and external factors that influence inventory investment decisions. Furthermore, a similar study is not known to have been carried out on listed pharmaceutical companies in Nigeria. The study employs quantile regression analysis in finding coefficient estimates useful for policy decision-making. This study contributes to existing knowledge by introducing variables and the use of quantile regression a deviation from prior studies that utilize the Ordinary Least Square Estimation Technique in the Nigerian context. The study is expected to be of assistance to policymakers by enabling them to make informed decisions (Olayinka, 2022)

2. Literature review

2.1 Inventory Holding

Inventory holding is a dominant focus incorporating working capital management; where the inventory turnover ratio (ITR) is often utilized as a barometer of performance for material management functions. Inventory refers to the physical stock of goods kept in store to meet the anticipated demand. Inventory can be defined as a 'stock of goods or other items owned by a firm and held for sale or processing before being sold, as part of a firm's ordinary operation'. Inventory is the finished goods and raw materials existing within a firm with the objective of resale or further production to achieve the organisational purpose. The concept of inventory also referred to as stock has been applied in varied several sectors to generalize the definition to be items or work within the process of production, which is or has occurred before the completion of production. In manufacturing systems, inventory holding refers to all existing items of raw materials, work in progress i.e., partially finished products, and finished products. In the context of service delivery, inventory refers to all work done before a sale, including partially processed information.

Due to the crucial role that inventory management plays in working capital management decisions, the need to examine its key determinants is paramount. A firm's inventory holding is tied to its working capital management reflected in the cash conversion cycle (Ebben & Johnson, 2011). As suggested by Soukhakian and Khodakarami (2019), inventory holding decision is closely tied to the liquidity level and profitability level. Empirically, Lyngstadaas and Berg (2016) using a sample of

Norwegian SMEs reported a negative association between inventory holding and firm performance. This evidence is also confirmed using a Swedish dataset by Yazdanfar and Öhman (2014).

2.2 Inflation

Inflation is generally defined as a sustained increment in the economic price level. In other words, the prices of goods and services such as housing, food, and transportation, increase gradually over some time in the overall economy. The inflation rate has been measured in several ways. However, it is commonly measured by "either a Gross Domestic Product Deflator (GDP Deflator) or a Consumer Price Index (CPI) indicator". The GDP Deflator is a broad index of inflation in the economy; the CPI Index measures changes in the price level of a broad basket of consumer products. The literature identifies two major types of inflation: demand-pull inflation and cost-induced inflation. Demand-pull inflation occurs when aggregate demand for goods and services in an economy rises more rapidly than an economy's productive capacity. Cost-push inflation, on the other hand, occurs when the prices of production process inputs increase. Rapid wage increases or rising raw material prices are common causes of this type of inflation. The literature also documents the varying effects of the inflation rate on firm performance. For instance, the study by Ramadan (2016) documented evidence that the country's inflation rate had a positive influence on economic value added. Empirically, the study by Mathuva (2010) finds a significant relationship between the inflation rate and the cash conversion cycle. The authors, Golverdi and Mehrabanpour (2017) find that during periods of price increases which lead to rising production costs, the firms may respond by taking additional inventory which implies a positive influence on the cash conversion cycles.

2.3 Inventory Holding and Inflation

The association between inventory holding and inflation has been documented in several studies. The inventory holding decision is vital to a firm and the economy (Huang, 2016). Authors such as Blinder and Maccini (1991a); Blinder and Maccini (1991b) suggested a paradox in the concept of inventory holding. Huang (2016) observed that inventory while inventory holding accounts for less than 5% of the GDP fluctuation, relatively accounts for 20% of production fluctuation. Prior studies have established the sensitivity of inventory holding to numerous factors at the firm, industry and country levels. Gao (2015) using empirical data from 1970 to 2009 found the sensitivity of working capital to cash plus inventory holding ratio at the firm level. The results specifically found a negative association between the net working capital and the cast to (cash plus inventory) ratio. The study of Rao et al. (2016) empirically demonstrated that inflation affects a firm's inventory investment positively. Egbunike and Okerekeoti (2018) used data that spanned from 2011 to 2017 to analyse the effect of macroeconomic factors and firm characteristics on the financial performance in a panel EGLS framework that showed that the inflation rate had a positive significant effect on ROA at the firm level. Using empirical data from China spanning 1978 to 2002, Yu, Wang, and Gan (2005) found that inventory is sensitive to inflation, but inflation is not sensitive to inventory; while Rao et al. (2016) empirically found a positive association between the inflation rate and the firm's inventory holding. In Kenya, the study by Mwangi and Wekesa (2017) from both primary data and content analysis finds that economic factors influence the organizational performance of Kenya Airways Limited. Using a sample of twenty firms Gado (2015) finds that the macroeconomic environment played a crucial role in the Nigerian business environment while significantly determining the firm's performance. Specifically, the inflation rate had a positive impact while exchange and interest rates had negative impacts. Another study conducted in Kenya by Kiganda (2014), using the Cobb-Douglas production function finds that selected macroeconomic factors such as the real GDP, inflation and exchange rate had an insignificant effect. Using empirical data from Pakistan commercial banks, Kanwal and Nadeem (2013) found a negative effect of the inflation rate on ROA, ROE and equity multiplier in 3 pooled models. The results from prior studies showed that the selected macroeconomic factor of inflation affects inventory and profitability. This negative link is also found in the study by Osamwonyi and Michael (2014) using data spanning from 1990 to 2013 of Nigerian banks. The Pooled OLS result revealed a negative effect of inflation rate on ROE. The authors therefore from the literature reviewed lead to the formulation of the following hypothesis:

H₁: There is a significant effect of inflation on the inventory holding of quoted pharmaceutical firms.

2.4 Firm Characteristics

Firm characteristics are the culmination of a firm's demographic and managerial variables, which are unique to a particular firm (Zou & Stan, 1998). Egbunike and Okerekeoti (2018) described it as a crucial component of the internal environment of a firm. According to Kogan and Tian (2012), firm characteristics include firm size, leverage, liquidity, sales growth, asset growth and turnover, etc. Arguably, firm characteristics are often enshrined in the financial statements of the firm (Ige & Adewumi, 2020).

- 1. Profitability: Profitability refers to the excess of revenue over expenditure (Uche, Ndubuisi, & Chinyere, 2019). Studies have documented mixed findings on the influence of profitability on varying firm metrics. Uche et al. (2019) found a positive effect of profitability on waste management costs. Shabrina and Hadian (2021) found that profitability proxied using return on total assets influenced dividend payout. Therefore, the following hypothesis is proposed:
 - Ho₂: There is no significant effect of profitability on the inventory holding of quoted pharmaceutical firms.
- 2. Liquidity: This is a firm's ability to convert its short-term assets into cash to meet its day-to-day operation (Elliott, 2014). Prior studies document mixed findings on the nexus of liquidity and firm performance. For instance, using the EU dataset, Akgün and Karataş (2020), finds evidence of a significant effect of liquidity on firm performance. Owolabi, Obiakor, and Okwu (2011) find a negative relationship between liquidity and profitability in the banking sector; and, a positive relationship between the two in processing/manufacturing firms. Using a sample of Spanish firms, García-Teruel and Martínez-Solano (2007) found that shortening the days of inventory holding had a positive effect on return on assets. Therefore, the following hypothesis is proposed:

Ho₃: There is no significant effect of liquidity on the inventory holding of quoted pharmaceutical firms.

- 3. Cash conversion cycle (LCCC): The cash conversion cycle is a comprehensive measure of working capital management that shows the time lapse between the expenditure on the purchase of raw materials and the collection of revenue from sales of finished products (Padachi, 2006). The cash conversion cycle is the "net time interval between actual cash expenditures on a firm's purchase of productive resources and the ultimate recovery of cash receipts from product sales" (Richards & Ej, 1980). Ebben and Johnson (2011) opined that it measures the days on inventory holding and receivables in days while giving due cognizance to the payables in days. Prior liquidity measures were highly criticised for several limitations ((Eljelly, 2004); (Ebben & Johnson, 2011); (Obeng, Enos, & Yensu, 2021)) have improved the popularity of the cash conversion cycle in working capital management literature. The LCCC measures the duration of time it takes to elapse from the point a firm pays for inventory and when cash is realized from the sales of such (Ebben & Johnson, 2011). Prior studies have also studied this important firm attribute and its effect on several firm outcomes e.g. ROA ((Deloof, 2003); (Ebben & Johnson, 2011); (Lazaridis & Tryfonidis, 2006)). Zakari and Saidu (2016) reported a significant positive relationship between the cash conversion cycle and corporate profitability of Nigerian-listed telecommunication firms. Ukaegbu (2014) using data from four African countries, Egypt, Kenya, Nigeria and South Africa, showed a significant negative relationship between the cash conversion cycle and corporate profitability. Using a sample of sugar manufacturing firms in Kenya the study by Lwiki, Ojera, Mugenda, and Wachira (2013) found a positive association between the firm's inventory management practices and corporate profitability. However, using a Ghanaian dataset the study by Obeng et al. (2021) finds no significant relationship between the cash conversion cycle and corporate profitability. The evidence was also confirmed for the effect on firm valuation. Therefore, the following hypothesis is proposed:
 - Ho₄: There is no significant effect of the cash conversion cycle on the inventory holding of quoted pharmaceutical firms.

- 4. Firm size: The issue of firm size has remained dominant in the corporate governance literature (Nzioka, 2013). It has been widely applied across several contexts documenting mixed findings on the effect of firm size on varying firm performance proxies and inventory holding. Egbunike and Okerekeoti (2018) using empirical data from Nigeria, found a positive association between firm size and ROA. Adeyemi and Fagbemi (2010) found a positive effect of firm size on audit quality in Nigeria. This variable was also included as a control in the studies by Yazdanfar and Öhman (2014); Prempeh and Peprah-Amankona (2020); Kodithuwakku (2015); EL-Ansary and Al-Gazzar (2021).
- 5. Leverage: This is the proportion of debt to equity in the capital structure of a firm (Omondi & Muturi, 2013). Studies have also documented varied effects of leverage on firm performance. Egbunike and Okerekeoti (2018) using empirical data from Nigeria, found a positive effect of leverage on ROA. Adeyemi and Fagbemi (2010) found a positive effect of leverage on audit quality in Nigeria. Rahmawati and Hadian (2022) find that the debt-equity ratio is negatively associated with stock prices using a sample of consumer goods firms listed on the IDX from 2016 to 2018. This variable has also been included in other WCM studies as a control variable such as those (EL-Ansary & Al-Gazzar, 2021); Prempeh and Peprah-Amankona (2020); Kodithuwakku (2015); Yazdanfar and Öhman (2014).

2.5 Theoretical Framework

Various theories on inventory holding management opine that decisions on the level of inventory to hold should focus on managing the basic trade-offs in costs, customer service-level goals and various other constraints. Therefore the current study is anchored on the transaction cost perspective, as firms hold inventory for several reasons including stockout costs, etc. This theory originated from the study by Ronald Coase in 1937 who tried to explain the structure of firms and in particular the formation of the modern corporation. This theory tends to explain the basis of a firm's existence and interlinks with the external environment. This theory presupposes that a corporation tends to minimize its cost of resource exchange with the environment and also minimize the cost of bureaucratic exchanges within the bureaucratic framework. Furthermore, the transactions cost theory implies that a given firm will grow if and only if the cost of the external transaction is higher than the company's bureaucratic cost. This implies that the company can carry out its business activities more cheaply than if the activities were outsourced. However, it notes that companies will expand as much as their operations can be performed more cheaply within the organization rather than outsourcing. The study draws from the transaction cost theory with a view that managers acting as agents have the aim of shareholders value, profit maximization or cost minimization which can only be possible when among other factors the cost of holding inventory within the organization is less than the cost of outsourcing same activities.

3. Methodology

The study from a quantitative perspective utilized the ex-post facto research design. The population is comprised of quoted pharmaceutical firms on the Nigerian Stock Exchange (NSE). The final sample of 8 pharmaceutical firms was purposively chosen based on data availability during the study period. The study focused on the use of secondary data that were retrieved from annual reports and accounts of the sampled companies quoted on the NSE. In line with the suggestion by EL-Ansary and Al-Gazzar (2021); Boisjoly, Conine Jr, and McDonald IV (2020), the authors argue that working capital-related studies should focus on a singular industry as prior studies have shown that cash conversion cycles differ across industries suggestive of a non-homogenous effect. Secondly, Chauhan (2019) states that working capital is a managerial policy in a firm that depends on organisational culture and the strategy of a particular firm indicative of different industrial practices. The data spanned a period of 6 years, from 2011 to 2016. The study adopted Quantile Regression Analysis to find coefficients that will be used to determine both impacts and directions of the variables under study. The firms included in the final sample are shown below:

| Company | Ticker | Sector | Date Listed |
|--------------------------------|------------|------------|---------------|
| EKOCORP PLC. [BLS] | EKOCORP | HEALTHCARE | - |
| FIDSON HEALTHCARE PLC | FIDSON | HEALTHCARE | April 6, 2008 |
| GLAXO SMITHKLINE CONSUMER NIG. | GLAXOSMITH | HEALTHCARE | - |
| PLC. [CG+] | | | |
| MAY & BAKER NIGERIA PLC. | MAYBAKER | HEALTHCARE | November 10, |
| | | | 1994 |
| MORISON INDUSTRIES PLC. | MORISON | HEALTHCARE | - |
| NEIMETH INTERNATIONAL | NEIMETH | HEALTHCARE | September 21, |
| PHARMACEUTICALS PLC [CG+] | | | 1979 |
| PHARMA-DEKO PLC. | PHARMDEKO | HEALTHCARE | - |
| EVANS MEDICAL PLC. | EVANS | HEALTHCARE | - |

Table 1. Names of companies included in the final sample

Source: Nigerian Stock Exchange Factbook

3.1 Method of Data Analysis

In the estimation process, the study employs the use of quantile regression to analyze the unique dataset. The standard ordinary least squares regression technique provides a synoptic point estimate on the conditional mid-values caused by the joint effect of explanatory variables. As Mosteller and Tukey (1977) stated, the regression provides a synoptic summary of the averages of the distributions corresponding to the vector of explanatory variables. They further stated that several regression curves that correspond to various percentage points of the distributions may be computed to provide a more accurate picture of the set. However, the focus on these mid-values may hide important features of the underlying relationship. Thus, a more efficient approach would be to utilize the quantile regression techniques. This helps to obtain a more complete picture of the underlying relationship between inventory holding and its determinants. In this case, the estimation of linear models by quantile regression may be preferable to the usual regression methods for some reasons:

Firstly, the non-normality distribution of the unique dataset violates the assumption of normally distributed errors of standard least squares. While the properties of standard least-squares estimation are not robust to moderate departures from a normal distribution, the quantile estimation approach is characteristically robust to outliers and heavy-tailed distributions. The quantile regression solution b⁰ is invariant to outliers of the dependent variable that tend to $\pm \infty$ Buchinsky (1994). The standard regressions technique focuses on the mean, while, quantile regressions techniques can describe the entire conditional distribution of the regressand. In the context of this research study, all firm-specific determinants of inventory holding are of key interest in their own right, we don't want to consider them as outliers, but worthwhile to study them in detail. This can be done by calculating coefficient estimates at various quantiles of the conditional distribution. Lastly, this regression approach does not acknowledge the restrictive assumption which suggests that the error terms of the econometric function are distributed identically at every point of the conditional distribution. By relaxing this assumption, company heterogeneity becomes obvious such that we can consider the likelihood that the estimated slope parameters vary at different quantiles of the conditional distribution of all determinants of corporate inventory holding.

Therefore, the quantile regression model technique initially developed by Keonker and Basset (1978) can be econometrically expressed with the following notation as follows: $Y_{it} = \chi^{1}_{it} \beta_{0} + \varepsilon_{0it \text{ with }} Quant_{0} (Y_{it/} \chi_{it}) = \chi^{1}_{it} \beta_{0}$(1)

Where: i denotes company, t denotes time, Y_{it} is the independent variable, χ_{it} is the vector of parameters to be estimated and ε is a vector of residuals. Quant₀ ($Y_{it/} \chi_{it}$) denotes the \emptyset th conditional quantile of Y_{it} given χ_{it} .

The $Ø^{th}$ regression quantile 0<0<1, solves the following problem:

$$\min_{\beta} \frac{1}{n} \left\{ \sum_{i,t:y_{it} \geq x_{it}^{'}\beta} \theta \mid y_{it} - x_{it}^{'}\beta \mid + \sum_{i,t:y_{it} < x_{it}^{'}\beta} (1-\theta) \mid y_{it} - x_{it}^{'}\beta \mid \right\} = \min_{\beta} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho_{\theta} \varepsilon_{\theta t}$$
(2)

Where $\rho_0(.)$ which is known as the check function is defined as:

$$\rho_{\theta}(\varepsilon_{\theta it}) = \begin{cases} \theta \varepsilon_{\theta it} & \text{if } \theta \varepsilon_{\theta it} \ge 0\\ (\theta - 1)\varepsilon_{\theta it} & \text{if } \theta \varepsilon_{\theta it} < 0 \end{cases}$$
(3)

Equation (2) is then solved by linear programming methods such that as one increases \emptyset continuously from 0 to 1, the entire conditional distribution of Y_{it} conditional on χ_{it} can be measured (Buchinsky, 1994).

In this study, we assume that Inventory Holding (INTY) is a function of Firm Profitability, (ROTA), Firm Liquidity (LIQ), Cash Conversion Cycle (LCCC), Inflation (INF), Firm Size (SIZE), and Firm Leverage (LEV). Whereas the firm size and firm leverage are the control variables employed in this study. This can be written in an econometric form as:

 $INTY_{it} = ROTA_{it} + LIQ_{it} + LCCC_{it} + INF_{it} + SIZE_{it} + LEV_{it} + \varepsilon_{it.....} (4)$

However, due to the advantages of the quantile regression estimation technique over OLS fixed and random effect models, we examined the various determinants of inventory holding at 25th, 50th, and 75th quantiles.

3.1.1 Model Specification

The study follows the empirical modelling in the study by Prempeh and Peprah-Amankona (2020) using Ghanaian data with slight modifications. The below specified explicit models were utilized in the study, at 25th, 50th and 75th percentiles respectively:

 $Q_{.25} (INTY_{it}) = \lambda_{.25} + \lambda_{.25,1}ROTA_{it} + \lambda_{.25,2}LIQ_{it} + \lambda_{.25,3}LCCC_{it} + \lambda_{.25,4}INF_{it} + \lambda_{.25,5}SIZE_{it} + \lambda_{.25,6}LEV_{it} + \epsilon_{.25it}$

 $Q_{.5} (INTY_{it}) = \lambda_{.5} + \lambda_{.5,1} ROTA_{it} + \lambda_{.5,2} LIQ_{it} + \lambda_{.5,3} LCCC_{it} + \lambda_{.5,4} INF_{it} + \lambda_{.5,5} SIZE_{it} + \lambda_{.5,6} LEV_{it} + \epsilon_{.5it}$

 $Q_{.75} (INTY_{it}) = \lambda_{.75} + \lambda_{.75,1}ROTA_{it} + \lambda_{.75,2}LIQ_{it} + \lambda_{.75,3}LCCC_{it} + \lambda_{.75,4}INF_{it} + \lambda_{.75,5}SIZE_{it} + \lambda_{.75,6}LEV_{it} + \epsilon_{.75it}$

Where:

| INTY | = Inventory Holding |
|-----------------|--|
| ROTA | = Return on Total Assets |
| LIQ | = Firm Liquidity |
| LCCC | = Length of Cash Conversion Cycle |
| INF | = Inflation Rate |
| SIZE | = Firm Size |
| LEV | = Firm Leverage |
| Q.25, Q.50 Q.75 | = Quantile 25, Quantile 50 and Quantile 75 |
| | |

| Variable | Specification | Measurement |
|---------------------------------|---------------|---|
| Inventory | INTY | Inventory to Revenue Ratio |
| Return on Total Assets | ROTA | Net profit after tax / Total Assets |
| Liquidity | LIQ | Current Assets – Inventory / Current Liability |
| Length of Cash Conversion Cycle | LCCC | Receivable day + Inventory day - Payable day |
| Inflation Rate | INF | Inflation rate |
| | | |

2023 | International Journal of Financial, Accounting, and Management/ Vol 5 No 1, 1-17

| Firm Size | SIZE | Natural log of the total assets which |
|-----------|------|--|
| | | was measured as a control variable |
| Leverage | LEV | Total liabilities / Total assets which |
| | | were measured as a control variable |

4. Results and discussions

The descriptive statistics of the variables are shown in the Table below, i.e., the mean (average) for each of the variables, their maximum and minimum values, sum, standard deviation, etc.

| ruene et 2 esempti | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|--|
| | INTY | ROTA | LIQ | LCCC | INF | SIZE | LEV | |
| Mean | 26.54326 | 5.984844 | 2.079793 | 112.3770 | 17.07292 | 10.38433 | 95.06385 | |
| Median | 9.745346 | 3.382457 | 0.787101 | 64.12427 | 9.800000 | 6.076000 | 46.48626 | |
| Maximum | 153.5300 | 93.25998 | 12.28000 | 765.1800 | 114.3000 | 59.52300 | 578.5700 | |
| Minimum | 0.472188 | -28.51000 | 0.145205 | -327.3400 | 0.000000 | 0.068161 | 0.246734 | |
| Std. Dev. | 42.32184 | 17.99018 | 3.240622 | 230.3046 | 30.15933 | 17.74098 | 157.0308 | |
| Skewness | 2.102586 | 2.300368 | 2.248165 | 0.437097 | 2.241429 | 2.185359 | 2.191126 | |
| Kurtosis | 5.862917 | 13.26219 | 6.600138 | 3.237431 | 6.484926 | 6.100595 | 6.283785 | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Jarque-Bera | 51.75954 | 252.9587 | 66.35596 | 1.641177 | 64.48145 | 57.43374 | 59.97474 | |
| Probability | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.440172 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Sum | 1274.077 | 287.2725 | 99.83005 | 5394.094 | 819.5000 | 498.4480 | 4563.065 | |
| Sum Sq. Dev. | 84183.50 | 15211.38 | 493.5767 | 2492889. | 42750.49 | 14792.90 | 1158957. | |
| | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | |
| Observations | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | |
| Source: E-Views | 10 | | | | | | | |

| T 11 2 | D · /· | | C (1 | . 11 |
|----------|-------------|------------|--------|-----------|
| Table 3. | Descriptive | statistics | of the | variables |

On average the inventory-to-revenue ratio is 26.54, and the mean return on assets is 5.98 which means that profit after tax covers total assets up to 5 times. The liquidity ratio is 2.08; which means that current assets cover current liabilities up to 2 times. The length of the cash conversion cycle was on average 112 days. The descriptive statistics reveal that the mean inflation rate is 17.07 for pharmaceutical firms. Firm size and leverage variables are transformed using natural logarithmic transformation. Concerning the size of total assets proxy by (size), the descriptive statistics reveal that all the sampled firms had similar sizes. Specifically, the variable LIQ shows the pharmaceutical firms under consideration were in good financial health. The kurtosis shows that the distribution of the variables under consideration in this study was leptokurtic, that is, it has heavy tails. Thus, estimation techniques like the Ordinary Least Square Regression Techniques (OLS) are not robust and may be biased (Buchinsky, 1994). Hence, the use of quantile regression estimation becomes more appropriate when applied and the estimation output is reported of different quantiles (0.25, 0.50, and 0.75). The Jarque-Bera statistic showed a non-normal distribution of the dataset except for the LCCC.

The main regression results are shown in Table 3 at the 25th quantile, 50th quantile and 75th quantile.

| ruble 4. Quantile regression output of the model | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|-------------|-------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| | tau | coefficient | std. error | t-ratio | | | |
| const | 0.250 | -0.002266 | 0.000821895 | -2.75725 | | | |
| | 0.500 | 0.426851 | 0.121993 | 3.49898 | | | |
| | 0.750 | 0.942499 | 0.00548872 | 171.716 | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| INF | 0.250 | 0.237655 | 0.000130176 | 1825.64** | | | |
| | 0.500 | 0.307295 | 0.0193219 | 15.9040 | | | |

Table 4. Quantile regression output of the model

2023 | International Journal of Financial, Accounting, and Management/ Vol 5 No 1, 1-17

| | 0.750 | 0.504809 | 0.000869332 | 580.686*** | |
|--------------------|-------|-----------|--------------|------------|--|
| ROTA | 0.250 | 0.0197066 | 4.39278e-005 | 448.613 | |
| | 0.500 | 0.0126147 | 0.00652016 | 1.93473 | |
| | 0.750 | 0.0112099 | 0.000293355 | 38.2127 | |
| LCCC | 0.250 | 0.0323578 | 7.91246e-006 | 4089.47*** | |
| | 0.500 | 0.0285650 | 0.00117444 | 24.3223 | |
| | 0.750 | 0.0361489 | 5.28404e-005 | 684.115*** | |
| LIO | 0.250 | -1.13707 | 0.00249386 | -455.947 | |
| (| 0.500 | -0.763056 | 0.370161 | -2.06142 | |
| | 0.750 | -1.28635 | 0.0166543 | -77.2383 | |
| SIZE | 0.250 | 1.48962 | 0.0005602 | 2659.32 | |
| | 0.500 | 1.03453 | 0.0831426 | 12.4428 | |
| | 0.750 | 1.65799 | 0.0037408 | 443.223*** | |
| LEV | 0.250 | 0.0356501 | 3.20881e-005 | 1111.01 | |
| | 0.500 | 0.0902082 | 0.00476280 | 18 9402 | |
| | 0.750 | 0.0001444 | 0.000214288 | 0.673791 | |
| | | 25% | 50% | 75% | |
| Pseudo R-squared | | 0.866644 | 0.897422 | 0.931509 | |
| Adjusted R-squared | | 0.850768 | 0.885210 | 0.923355 | |
| | | | | | |

*, **, *** implies statistical significance at 10%, 5% and 1% levels respectively Source: E-Views 10

The results showed that concerning the quantile regressions the adjusted R-squared was best at the 75% quantile (92%) when compared to other quantiles. This means that the explanatory variables explained 92.3% of the systematic variation in the dependent variable at 75% quantile. The coefficients of explanatory variables which are included in the quantile model were interpreted at the 25%, 50% and 75% quantiles. At the 50th quantile, the LCCC, SIZE and LEV were significant at the 5% level, the variable INF was significant at 10%; while, ROTA and LIQ were positive and non-significant. At the 25th quantile, LCCC and SIZE were significant and positive at 5%. LIQ had a negative non-significant effect on INTY; while, ROTA, INF and LEV were positive and non-significant. The variables LCCC, INF and SIZE were positive and significant at the 75th quantile; while, ROTA, LIQ and LEV were positive and non-significant. The study makes the following conclusions:

- H_1 : There is a significant effect of inflation on the inventory holding distribution at upper quantiles (75th) of quoted pharmaceutical firms.
- H₂: There is a significant effect of the cash conversion cycle on the inventory holding distribution at different quantiles (25th, 50th and 75th) of quoted pharmaceutical firms.
- H₃: There is no significant effect of profitability on the inventory holding distribution at different quantiles (25th, 50th and 75th) of quoted pharmaceutical firms.
- H₄: There is no significant effect of liquidity on the inventory holding distribution at different quantiles $(25^{th}, 50^{th} \text{ and } 75^{th})$ of quoted pharmaceutical firms.

It is evident from the table above that only the variable of the length of the cash conversion cycle has a significant impact on the inventory holding level for the period under analysis. The result equally shows that the level of significance declined as inventory levels builds up the quantile. This evidence is unique in the sense that it enables the researcher to know that at all levels of inventory holding, the variable of the length of the cash conversion cycle is a major driver of inventory holding.

4.1 Discussion of Findings

The results showed a positive effect of inflation on the inventory holding of quoted pharmaceutical firms. The results are consistent with Soukhakian and Khodakarami (2019), which reported a positive effect of inflation on the return on assets. Similarly, Egbunike and Okerekeoti (2018), using a sample of consumer goods firms in Nigeria showed that the inflation rate had a positive significant effect on ROA. This is somewhat consistent with the study by Mathuva (2010) that supports a positive association between inflation and cash conversion cycle, yet another prior study by Gado (2015) on a sample of 20 most capitalised companies in Nigeria showed that inflation has a positive impact on firm performance. Empirically, Mwangi and Wekesa (2017) reported an association between macroeconomic factors and organizational performance. However, in contrast, The study by Kanwal and Nadeem (2013) in Pakistan showed that the inflation rate had a negative link with return on assets (ROA), return on equity (ROE) and equity multiplier (EM) ratios. However, the result contradicts the results of Rao et al. (2016); and Yu et al. (2005).

The cash conversion cycle had a positive effect on the inventory holding of quoted pharmaceutical firms. This is consistent with the study by Deari, Kukeli, Barbuta-Misu, and Virlanuta (2022) find evidence of a positive relationship between working capital management and profitability proxied using the ROA. Another study by Yazdanfar and Öhman (2014) used Swedish data but found a positive association between the cash conversion cycle and corporate profitability. Another study in the African context by Lwiki et al. (2013) found a positive association between inventory management practices and firm profitability in Kenya. However, in contrast, Akgün and Karatas (2020) using the European Union dataset find a negative relationship between working capital and performance; Ren, Liu, Yang, Xiao, and Hu (2019) using a Chinese dataset also find such (a negative) relationship. Pham, Nguyen, and Nguyen (2020) using a Vietnamese sample documents the negative effect of the cash conversion cycle on firm performance. Nobanee, Abdullatif, and AlHajjar (2011) using empirical Japanese data find a negative relationship. The study by EL-Ansary and Al-Gazzar (2021) found a negative effect of the LCCC on ROE; while the effect of LCCC on ROA was positive and significant in the two instances. In the Nigerian context, other studies have also documented this negative relationship: for instance, Aregbeyen (2013) using data spanning from 1993 to 2005 reported a negative relationship between the cash conversion cycle and profitability; while Akinlo (2012) using data spanning from 1997 to 2007 reported a negative effect of a significant relationship between days of inventory holding on profitability.

The proxy for profitability which is the ROA showed a positive effect on the inventory holding of quoted pharmaceutical firms. This is supported by the study of Kasozi (2017) using the South African dataset, which finds a positive association between profitability and inventory holding in days. However, in contrast, Oladimeji and Aladejebi (2020) found a negative relationship between the inventory conversion period and ROA. Nwaobia, Kajola, and Adedeji (2012) also found a negative relationship between working capital management and financial performance. Using a dataset from Sri Lanka, the study by Kodithuwakku (2015), the OLS estimation output showed a negative relationship between profitability and inventory conversion period.

The empirical results showed a negative effect of liquidity on the inventory holding of quoted pharmaceutical firms. This is in contrast with the study by Oladimeji and Aladejebi (2020) which found a positive relationship between the current ratio and ROA. Akindele and Odusina (2015), found a negative relationship between working capital management and firm profitability. However, in contrast, Prempeh and Peprah-Amankona (2020) using a dynamic model framework of Ghanaian data reported a positive effect on the current ratio while Kolapo, Oke, and Ajayi (2015) in Nigeria found a positive effect on working capital management on ROA.

The control variable firm size was not significant and positive at the 25th, 50th and 75th quantile respectively. The leverage also had a positive relationship at the 25th, 50th and 75th quantile. Prior studies showed mixed findings. Prempeh and Peprah-Amankona (2020) using a dynamic model approach found a positive effect of the two control variables using the Ghanaian dataset. Chiou,

Cheng, and Wu (2006) found a positive relationship between firm size and working capital management. Mansoori and Muhammad (2012) found that firm size is negatively correlated with inventory management.

5. Conclusion

Prior studies have analyzed inventory holding and firm performance from varied perspectives. The authors in this paper consider the joint influence of selected firm characteristics, and macroeconomic factors, specifically, the inflation rate given its close linkage to the concept of cash holding in working capital management on the inventory holding decision of pharmaceutical firms. The study set out to examine macroeconomic and internal determinants of inventory holding for Nigerian listed firms using a quantile regression framework. The analysis involved eight pharmaceutical firms from the Nigerian Stock Exchange (NSE). The normality of the data set revealed that most of the variables were skewed (heavy tail) and therefore, the study relied upon quantile regression analysis as an analytical tool using the quantile at 0.25, 0.50, and 0.75. The model utilised inventory holding as the dependent variable while return on asset, current ratio, length of the cash conversion cycle, inflation rate, firm size and leverage were selected as explanatory variables. Of particular interest is the fact that the length of the cash conversion cycle (LCCC) is a significant determinant for inventory holding at all the different quantiles, (0.25, 0.50, and 0.75) with a positive sign. This suggests that all levels of inventory that these firms keep are determined by the short period that it takes to convert inventory to cash.

5.1 Recommendations

The study makes the following recommendations based on the outcome of the empirical findings:

- 1. Managers of firms within the pharmaceutical industry listed on the Nigeria Stock Exchange should encourage such policies that facilitate a short life cycle of cash conversion to enhance firm performance. The finds also suggest that managers of pharmaceutical firms should pursue aggressive working capital management policies to create value for the firm; this is because the cash conversion cycle is linked to the profitability of pharmaceutical firms.
- 2. Pharmaceutical firms should be mindful of inflationary trends as the increased inflation pressure may lead to excessive accumulation of inventory holding which may positively deter the firm's working capital management policy and reduce profitability. Thus, management should constantly watch the magnitude of the impact of inflation in the context of developing economies because of its different effects on inventory holding i.e., specifically at upper and lower quantiles in the conditional distribution.

References

- Abrahamsen, Y., & Hartwig, J. (2011). Inventory investment and production in Europe during the "Great Recession": Is there a pattern? *International Journal of Production Economics*, 132(2), 174-177.
- Adeyemi, S. B., & Fagbemi, T. O. (2010). Audit quality, corporate governance and firm characteristics in Nigeria. *International journal of business and management*, 5(5), 169-179.
- Akgün, A. I., & Karataş, A. M. (2020). Investigating the relationship between working capital management and business performance: Evidence from the 2008 financial crisis of EU-28. *International Journal of managerial finance*, 17(4), 545-567.
- Akindele, J., & Odusina, O. (2015). Working capital management and firm profitability: Evidence from Nigerian quoted companies. *Journal of Finance and Accounting*, 6(7), 148-153.
- Akinlo, O. O. (2012). Effect of working capital on profitability of selected quoted firms in Nigeria. *Global Business Review*, 13(3), 367-381.
- Alessandria, G., Kaboski, J. P., & Midrigan, V. (2010). The great trade collapse of 2008–09: An inventory adjustment? *IMF Economic Review*, 58(2), 254-294.
- Aregbeyen, O. (2013). The effects of working capital management on the profitability of Nigerian manufacturing firms. *Journal of Business Economics and Management*, 14(3), 520-534.
- Belo, F., & Lin, X. (2012). The inventory growth spread. *The Review of Financial Studies*, 25(1), 278-313.

- Benati, L., & Lubik, T. A. (2014). Sales, inventories and real interest rates: a century of stylized facts. *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 29(7), 1210-1222. doi:1210-1222.<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jae.2408</u>
- Blinder, A. S., & Maccini, L. J. (1991a). Taking stock: a critical assessment of recent research on inventories. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 5(1), 73-96.
- Blinder, A. S., & Maccini, L. J. (1991b). The resurgence of inventory research: what have we learned? *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 5(4), 291-328.
- Boisjoly, R. P., Conine Jr, T. E., & McDonald IV, M. B. (2020). Working capital management: Financial and valuation impacts. *Journal of Business Research*, 108, 1-8.
- Bolton, P., Chen, H., & Wang, N. (2011). A unified theory of Tobin's q, corporate investment, financing, and risk management. *The Journal of Finance*, 66(5), 1545-1578.
- Buchinsky, M. (1994). Changes in the US wage structure 1963-1987: Application of quantile regression. *Econometrica: Journal of the Econometric Society*, 62(2), 405-458.
- Chauhan, G. S. (2019). Are working capital decisions truly short-term in nature? *Journal of Business Research*, 99, 238-253.
- Chiou, J.-R., Cheng, L., & Wu, H.-W. (2006). The determinants of working capital management. *Journal of American Academy of business*, 10(1), 149-155.
- Cornaggia, J. (2013). Does risk management matter? Evidence from the US agricultural industry. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 109(2), 419-440.
- Deari, F., Kukeli, A., Barbuta-Misu, N., & Virlanuta, F. O. (2022). Does working capital management affect firm profitability? Evidence from European Union countries. *Journal of Economic and Administrative Sciences*.
- Deloof, M. (2003). Does working capital management affect profitability of Belgian firms? *Journal of business finance & Accounting*, 30(3-4), 573-588.
- Disatnik, D., Duchin, R., & Schmidt, B. (2014). Cash flow hedging and liquidity choices. *Review of Finance*, 18(2), 715-748.
- Ebben, J. J., & Johnson, A. C. (2011). Cash conversion cycle management in small firms: Relationships with liquidity, invested capital, and firm performance. *Journal of Small Business & Entrepreneurship*, 24(3), 381-396.
- Egbunike, C. F., & Okerekeoti, C. U. (2018). Macroeconomic factors, firm characteristics and financial performance: A study of selected quoted manufacturing firms in Nigeria. *Asian Journal of Accounting Research*, 3(2), 142-168. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1108/AJAR-09-2018-0029</u>
- EL-Ansary, O., & Al-Gazzar, H. (2021). Working capital and financial performance in MENA region. *Journal of Humanities and Applied Social Sciences*, 3(4), 257-280. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1108/JHASS-02-2020-0036</u>
- Eljelly, A. M. (2004). Liquidity-profitability tradeoff: An empirical investigation in an emerging market. *International journal of commerce and management*, 14(2), 48-61.
- Elliott, D. J. (2014). Bank liquidity requirements: An introduction and overview. *The Brookings Institution*.
- Enqvist, J., Graham, M., & Nikkinen, J. (2014). The impact of working capital management on firm profitability in different business cycles: Evidence from Finland. *Research in International Business and Finance*, 32, 36-49.
- Eroglu, C., & Hofer, C. (2011). Inventory types and firm performance: Vector autoregressive and vector error correction models. *Journal of Business Logistics*, 32(3), 227-239.
- Gado, N. D. (2015). The impact of the Nigerian business environment on company performance: A case of 20 most capitalized companies in Nigeria. *International journal of business and management review*, 3(4), 36-48.
- Gao, X. (2015). Corporate cash and inventory management: Implications for measuring market competition. Retrieved from
- Garcia-Appendini, E., & Montoriol-Garriga, J. (2013). Firms as liquidity providers: Evidence from the 2007–2008 financial crisis. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 109(1), 272-291.
- García-Teruel, P. J., & Martínez-Solano, P. (2007). Effects of working capital management on SME profitability. *International Journal of managerial finance*.

Gill, A., Biger, N., & Mathur, N. (2010). The relationship between working capital management and profitability: Evidence from the United States. *Business and economics journal*, 10(1), 1-9.

Golverdi, & Mehrabanpour. (2017). Determining the Effects of Macroeconomic Variables on Working Capital through Artificial Neural Network Model (Unpublished Thesis). Alborz University.

- Govindasamy, C., & Antonidoss, A. (2022). Algorithmic Analysis on Spider Monkey Local Leaderbased Sea Lion Optimization for Inventory Management Integrated with Block Chain in Cloud. In *Edge Analytics* (pp. 63-79). Springer, Singapore.
- Guariglia, A., & Mateut, S. (2010). Inventory investment, global engagement, and financial constraints in the UK: evidence from microdata. *Journal of Macroeconomics*, 32(1), 239-250.
- Huang, J. (2016). A review of inventory investment: the macro and micro perspective. *Journal of Financial Risk Management*, 5(01), 57.
- Ige O. T. & Adewumi O., (2020). A comparative analysis of the determinants of profitability of commercial and microfinance banks in Nigeria. *International Journal of Financial, Accounting, and Management*, 1(3), 1-23. https://doi.org/10.35912/ijfam.v1i3.135
- Isaksson, O. H., & Seifert, R. W. (2014). Inventory leanness and the financial performance of firms. *Production Planning & Control*, 25(12), 999-1014.
- Jones, C. S., & Tuzel, S. (2013). Inventory investment and the cost of capital. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 107(3), 557-579.
- Kanwal, S., & Nadeem, M. (2013). The impact of macroeconomic variables on the profitability of listed commercial banks in Pakistan. *European journal of business and social sciences*, 2(9), 186-201.
- Kasozi, J. (2017). The effect of working capital management on profitability: A case of listed manufacturing firms in South Africa. *Investment management and financial innovations*, 14(2), 336-346.
- Kiganda, E. O. (2014). Effect of macroeconomic factors on commercial banks profitability in Kenya: Case of equity bank limited. *Journal of Economics and Sustainable development*, 5(2), 46-56.
- Kodithuwakku, S. (2015). Impact of working capital management on profitability: A study on listed manufacturing companies in Colombo stock exchange. Paper presented at the 12th International Conference on Business Management (ICBM).
- Kogan, L., & Tian, M. H. (2012). Firm characteristics and empirical factor models: A data-mining experiment. *FRB International Finance discussion paper*(1070).
- Kolapo, F., Oke, M., & Ajayi, L. (2015). Effect of working capital management on corporate performance: Cross-sectional evidence from Nigeria. *IOSR Journal of Business and Management (IOSR-JBM)*, 17(2), 93-103.
- Kurtuluş, M., Nakkas, A., & Ülkü, S. (2014). The value of category captainship in the presence of manufacturer competition. *Production and Operations Management*, 23(3), 420-430.
- Lai, R., Ren, Z. J., & Robb, D. J. (2014). Geographical influences on Chinese inventory: an exploratory study. *International Journal of Inventory Research*, 2(3), 145-173.
- Lazaridis, I., & Tryfonidis, D. (2006). Relationship between working capital management and profitability of listed companies in the Athens stock exchange. *Journal of financial management and analysis*, 19(1).
- Lv, F. Y. (2014). Periodic relationship between inventory adjustment and output volatility: Research based on the data of listed manufacturing companies. *On Economic Problems*, 3, 76-82.
- Lwiki, T., Ojera, P. B., Mugenda, N. G., & Wachira, V. K. (2013). The impact of inventory management practices on financial performance of sugar manufacturing firms in Kenya. *International Journal of Business, Humanities and Technology*, 3(5), 75-85.
- Lyngstadaas, H., & Berg, T. (2016). Working capital management: Evidence from Norway. *International Journal of managerial finance*, 12(3), 295-313.
- Maccini, L. J., Moore, B. J., & Schaller, H. (2004). The interest rate, learning, and inventory investment. *American Economic Review*, 94(5), 1303-1327.
- Mansoori, D. E., & Muhammad, D. (2012). Determinants of working capital management: Case of Singapore firms. Mansoori, E, Joriah Muhammad (2012), Determinants of working capital management: Case of Singapore firms," Research Journal of Finance and Accounting, 3(11), 15-23.

- Mathuva, D. (2010). The Influence of working capital management components on corporate profitability. *Research Journal of Business Management*, 3(1), 1-11.
- Mosteller, F., & Tukey, J. W. (1977). Data analysis and regression. A second course in statistics. *Addison-Wesley series in behavioral science: quantitative methods*.
- Mwangi, E. N., & Wekesa, S. (2017). Influence of economic factors on organizational performance of airlines: a case study of Kenya Airways Ltd. *IOSR Journal of Humanities and Social Science*, 22(5), 8-14.
- Nikolov, B., & Whited, T. M. (2014). Agency conflicts and cash: Estimates from a dynamic model. *The Journal of Finance*, 69(5), 1883-1921.
- Nobanee, H., Abdullatif, M., & AlHajjar, M. (2011). Cash conversion cycle and firm's performance of Japanese firms. *Asian Review of Accounting*, 19(2), 147-156.
- Nwaobia, A., Kajola, S., & Adedeji, S. (2012). Working capital management and firm performance: evidence from Nigerian listed firms. *Journal of Applied Finance and Banking*, 2(2), 215-237.
- Nzioka, P. K. (2013). *The relationship between firm size and financial performance of commercial banks in Kenya*. The University of Nairobi.
- Obeng, H., Enos, B. K., & Yensu, J. (2021). Working capital management, working capital policy, and firm performance in Ghana: empirical evidence using a dynamic system GMM. *African Journal of Business and Economic Research*, 16(1), 249.
- Okeke, O. C., Okere, W., Dafyak, C. F., & Abiahu, M.-F. C. (2022). Inventory management and financial sustainability: insight from quoted manufacturing firms in Nigeria. *International Journal of Managerial and Financial Accounting*, 14(1), 84-97.
- Oladimeji, J. A., & Aladejebi, O. (2020). The impact of working capital management on profitability: evidence from selected small businesses in Nigeria. *Journal of Small Business and Entrepreneurship Development*, 8(1), 27-40.
- Olayinka, A. A. (2022). Financial statement analysis as a tool for investment decisions and assessment of companies' performance. *International Journal of Financial, Accounting, and Management*, 4(1), 49–66. https://doi.org/10.35912/ijfam.v4i1.852
- Omondi, M. M., & Muturi, W. (2013). Factors affecting the financial performance of listed companies at the Nairobi Securities Exchange in Kenya. *Research journal of finance and accounting*, 4(15), 99-104.
- Onawumi, A., & Oluleye, O. (2011). An economic order quantity model with shortages, price break and inflation. *International Journal of Emerging Sciences*, 1(3), 465.
- Osamwonyi, I. O., & Michael, C. I. (2014). The impact of macroeconomic variables on the profitability of listed commercial banks in Nigeria. *European Journal of Accounting Auditing and Finance Research*, 2(10), 85-95.
- Owolabi, S., Obiakor, R., & Okwu, A. (2011). Investigating liquidity profitability relationship in business organizations: a study of selected quoted companies in Nigeria. *British Journal of Economics, Finance and Management Sciences*, 1(2), 11-29.
- Padachi, K. (2006). Trends in working capital management and its impact on firms' performance: an analysis of Mauritian small manufacturing firms. *International Review of business research papers*, 2(2), 45-58.
- Pham, K. X., Nguyen, Q. N., & Nguyen, C. V. (2020). Effect of working capital management on the profitability of steel companies on Vietnam stock exchanges. *The Journal of Asian Finance*, *Economics and Business*, 7(10), 741-750.
- Pong, C. K., & Mitchell, F. (2012). Inventory investment & control: How have UK companies been doing? *The British Accounting Review*, 44(3), 173-188.
- Prempeh, K. B., & Peprah-Amankona, G. (2020). Does Working Capital Management Affect Profitability of Ghanaian Manufacturing Firms? *Zagreb International Review of Economics & Business*, 23(1), 1-18.
- Rahmawati, Y., & Hadian, H. N. (2022). The influence of Debt Equity Ratio (DER), Earning Per Share (EPS), and Price Earning Ratio (PER) on stock price. *International Journal of Financial, Accounting, and Management*, 3(4), 289–300. https://doi.org/10.35912/ijfam.v3i4.225

- Ramadan, I. Z. (2016). EVA and the impact of the macroeconomic variables: Evidence from the Jordanian manufacturing companies. *Asian Journal of Finance & Accounting*, 8(1), 13-22.
- Rao, P. G., Yue, H., & Jiang, G. H. (2016). Expected Inflation and Firms' Inventory Adjustment. *China National Knowledge Infrastructure*, 499-526. doi:10.13821/j.cnki.ceq.2016.01.04
- Ren, T., Liu, N., Yang, H., Xiao, Y., & Hu, Y. (2019). Working capital management and firm performance in China. Asian Review of Accounting, 27(4), 546-562.
- Richards, V., & Ej, L. (1980). A cash conversion cycle approach to liquidity analysis. *Financial Management*. doi:https://doi.org/10.2307/3665310
- Saithong, C., & Luong, H. T. (2022). A periodic review order-up-to inventory policy in the presence of stochastic supply disruption. *International Journal of Industrial and Systems Engineering*, 40(2), 181-199.
- Shabrina, W., & Hadian, N. (2021). The influence of current ratio, debt to equity ratio, and return on assets on dividend payout ratio. *International Journal of Financial, Accounting, and Management*, 3(3), 193–204. https://doi.org/10.35912/ijfam.v3i3.221
- Shi, Y., Zhu, C., & Yang, T. (2016). Determinants of accounts receivable: Evidence from equipment manufacturing industry in China. Global Journal of Contemporary Research in Accounting, Auditing and Business Ethics (GJCRA) An Online International Research Journal, 2(1), 470-476.
- Soukhakian, I., & Khodakarami, M. (2019). Working capital management, firm performance and macroeconomic factors: Evidence from Iran. *Cogent Business & Management*, 6(1), 1684227.
- Uche, E. P., Ndubuisi, A. N., & Chinyere, O. J. (2019). Effect of firm characteristics on environmental performance of quoted industrial goods firms in Nigeria. *International Journal of Research in Business, Economics and Management*, 3(6), 1-13.
- Ukaegbu, B. (2014). The significance of working capital management in determining firm profitability: Evidence from developing economies in Africa. *Research in International Business and Finance*, 31, 1-16.
- Wang, H., Tao, J., Peng, T., Brintrup, A., Kosasih, E. E., Lu, Y., Tang, R., & Hu, L. (2022). Dynamic inventory replenishment strategy for aerospace manufacturing supply chain: combining reinforcement learning and multi-agent simulation. *International Journal of Production Research*, 1-20.
- Xu, Z., Xue, H., & Che, D. (2012). On the Cyclical Behaviors of Chinese Inventory Investments. *Economic Research Journal*, 8, 81-92.
- Yang, J. S., Yang, J. H., & Wu, X. J. (2015). Inflation Cycle, Financing Constraints and the Dynamic Adjustment Strategies of Firms Inventories. *Chinese Journal of Management Science*, 9, 55-64.
- Yazdanfar, D., & Öhman, P. (2014). The impact of cash conversion cycle on firm profitability: An empirical study based on Swedish data. *International Journal of managerial finance*, 10(4), 442-452. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1108/IJMF-12-2013-0137</u>
- Yu, J., Wang, Z. C., & Gan, R. C. (2005). Inventory Investment, Inflation and the Business Cycle. *Chinese Journal of Management Science*, 10, 267-271.
- Zakari, M., & Saidu, S. (2016). The impact of cash conversion cycle on firm profitability: Evidence from Nigerian listed telecommunication companies. *Journal of Finance and Accounting*, 4(6), 342-350.
- Zhang, T., & Chen, H. (2010). 5000 enterprises inventory index and the fluctuation of economy. *Journal of Financial Research*, 7, 35-44.
- Zou, S., & Stan, S. (1998). The determinants of export performance: a review of the empirical literature between 1987 and 1997. *International marketing review*.

| APPEN | NDIX 1 | | | | | | |
|-------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | INTY | ROTA | LIQ | LCCC | INF | SIZE | LEV |
| INTY | 1 | 0.093564 | 0.984213 | 0.606337 | 0.976753 | 0.980341 | 0.984742 |
| ROTA | 0.093564 | 1 | 0.141377 | 0.389276 | 0.048318 | 0.040631 | 0.039579 |
| LIQ | 0.984213 | 0.141377 | 1 | 0.595922 | 0.977342 | 0.981706 | 0.968515 |
| LCCC | 0.606337 | 0.389276 | 0.595922 | 1 | 0.490516 | 0.469328 | 0.546149 |
| INF | 0.976753 | 0.048318 | 0.977342 | 0.490516 | 1 | 0.982104 | 0.964408 |
| SIZE | 0.980341 | 0.040631 | 0.981706 | 0.469328 | 0.982104 | 1 | 0.982266 |
| LEV | 0.984742 | 0.039579 | 0.968515 | 0.546149 | 0.964408 | 0.982266 | 1 |