International Journal of Financial, Accounting, and Management (IJFAM)
ISSN: 2656-3355, Vol 6, No 3, 2024, 385-398 https://doi.org/10.35912/ijfam.v6i3.2522

Implementation of AI-driven automation: A game-changer in accounting research

Ama Kalu Ikwuo[1], Gilbert Ogechukwu Nworie[2], Vitalis O. Moedu[3]
University of Calabar, Cross River State, Nigeria[1]
Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Anambra State, Nigeria[2&3]
amakalu945@gmail.com[1], dulcisgil@gmail.com[2], vapal74@yahoo.com[3]

Article History
Received on 11 November 2024
1st Revision on 17 December 2024
2nd Revision on 20 December 2024
3rd Revision on 23 December 2024
Accepted on 24 December 2024

Abstract

Purpose: This study examined the implementation of Artificial Intelligence-driven Automation as a game changer in accounting research. Specifically, this study assessed the advantages and disadvantages of AI-driven automation in enhancing the quality of accounting research.

Methods: A descriptive survey design was used in the study. The study sample comprised of 137 accounting academics. Primary data for this study were collected using a structured questionnaire. The collected data were assigned quantitative measurements using a Likert scale system of ranks. Descriptive analytical tools (frequency and mean-point analyses) were used to analyze the data with the aid of the SPSS version 25 software.

Results: The findings show a general consensus that AI-driven automation enhances the accuracy, efficiency, and comprehensiveness of accounting research, with high acceptance of its benefits. However, there are notable concerns about potential drawbacks such as reduced originality, difficulties in validation, and the risk of introducing biases or compromising ethical standards.

Limitations: This study's limitations include a narrow sample of academics, potential response biases, and the inability to assess long-term AI impacts across diverse accounting professionals.

Contribution: The implementation of AI-driven automation represents a game-changer in accounting research because it offers new opportunities to enhance the quality, efficiency, and scope of academic inquiry, as well as challenges and risks that must be carefully managed to ensure that the benefits of AI are fully realized while maintaining the integrity and rigor of the research process. Therefore, this study recommends that academic institutions and research ethics committees develop workable training programs that emphasize the importance of maintaining human oversight, creativity, and ethical standards when utilizing AI-driven automation in accounting research.

Keywords: *AI-driven Automation, Accounting Research, Artificial Intelligence*

How to Cite: Ikwuo, A. K., Nworie, G. O., & Moedu, V. O. (2024). Implementation of AI-driven automation: A game-changer in accounting research. *International Journal of Financial, Accounting, and Management,* 6(3), 385-398.

1. Introduction

In today's changing technological domain, the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into various fields has become a critical driver of innovation and efficiency (Mmadubuobi, Nworie, & Aziekwe, 2024). More specifically, the world is embracing technological innovations quickly (Kosasih & Sulaiman, 2024; Putra et al., 2024). Accounting research in these fields has witnessed a profound transformation due to the implementation of AI-driven automation. This development is not just an incremental change, but a paradigm shift that is reshaping the way accounting research is conducted,

analyzed, and applied. The broader context in which this research topic resides is marked by a growing reliance on AI technologies across industries, where automation is leveraged to streamline processes, enhance accuracy, and reduce the time required for complex tasks (Rosário, 2024). Sadeghi and Barzegari (2020) observed that the accounting profession, known for its rigorous analytical processes and reliance on data integrity, is at the forefront of this revolution. Moreover, in the academic world, where the accuracy and reliability of research outcomes are paramount (William, 2024), AI integration offers a unique opportunity to enhance the quality and efficiency of accounting research. AI-driven automation can process vast amounts of data at speeds and levels of accuracy unattainable by researchers alone. This capability is particularly beneficial in accounting research, where analyzing large datasets is often necessary to identify patterns, trends, and anomalies that inform financial theories and practices. Additionally, AI can assist in performing repetitive and time-consuming tasks such as data entry, coding, and initial data analysis, allowing researchers to focus on more complex and interpretative aspects of their work (du Toit, 2024).

However, the adoption of AI-driven automation is not without challenges and risks. One significant concern is the potential for over-reliance on AI systems, which could lead to the de-skilling of human researchers (Rohmah, Arisudhana, & Nurhantoro, 2022). As AI becomes more capable of handling complex analytical tasks, Agbor et al. (2024) noted that there is a risk that researchers may become less engaged in critical thinking processes that are essential to academic inquiry. Furthermore, the use of AI in research raises ethical concerns, particularly regarding data privacy and potential for biased algorithms. If the underlying data or algorithms used in AI systems are biased, the results of the research could be skewed, leading to inaccurate conclusions and potentially flawed financial models. Therefore, while AI-driven automation holds immense promise for enhancing accounting research, it also requires careful consideration of ethical and professional implications (Kenwright, 2024).

AI-driven automation in accounting research involves the use of advanced AI technologies such as machine learning, natural language processing, and robotic process automation to perform tasks that traditionally require significant human intervention. AI-driven automation involves leveraging machines to replicate or even surpass human cognitive functions in data processing and analysis (Mmadubuobi et al., 2024). However, the integration of AI-driven automation raises important questions regarding the role of human judgment in research. Although AI can process data more quickly and accurately than humans, it is still ultimately guided by the parameters set by human researchers (Agbor et al., 2024). Thus, the quality of accounting research will continue to depend on the expertise and critical thinking skills of researchers who design and interpret AI-driven studies. Therefore, while AI-driven automation offers significant benefits for accounting research, it also requires a careful balance between leveraging the capabilities of AI and maintaining the essential roles of human judgment and perception. However, the implementation of AI-driven automation in accounting research has not yet fully realized this potential. Although AI tools are increasingly being adopted, their integration into research processes is often inconsistent and fraught with challenges. There is a significant knowledge gap among researchers regarding the effective use of AI technologies, leading to underutilization or misapplication of these tools. Additionally, concerns about data privacy, algorithmic bias, and transparency of AI processes have not been adequately addressed, resulting in a lack of trust in AI-generated outcomes. The rapid pace of AI development has also outstripped the ability of regulatory frameworks and ethical guidelines to keep up (Bako & Tanko, 2022; Holmes & Douglass, 2022), leading to a fragmented and uncertain terrain for accounting researchers.

The underutilization or misapplication of AI in accounting research can lead to flawed analyses, reducing the overall quality and credibility of the research findings (Agbor et al., 2024). This undermines the ability of accounting research to inform sound financial decision-making and policy development. Furthermore, ethical concerns surrounding AI, such as biased algorithms and data privacy risks, can result in research that is not only inaccurate but also potentially harmful to stakeholders who rely on the findings (Ghotbi, 2024). The lack of trust in AI-driven research outcomes can slow the adoption of AI technologies in the field, which could hinder the progress of accounting research and limit the potential benefits AI could bring. Ultimately, these challenges tend to impede the advancement

of the accounting discipline, preventing it from fully capitalizing on the opportunities presented by AI-driven automation.

Despite a growing body of literature on the implementation of AI-driven automation in accounting research, significant gaps remain that warrant further exploration. For instance, du Toit (2024) demonstrated the potential of AI to generate research articles, raising concerns regarding the integrity and credibility of academic research. However, the study focused primarily on the technical feasibility of AI in generating research outputs without adequately addressing the broader implications of research quality and ethics. Similarly, Rosário (2024) conducted a comprehensive bibliometric analysis highlighting emerging trends in AI applications within accounting. However, this study did not delve into the specific advantages and disadvantages of AI-driven automation in enhancing the quality of research. This oversight leaves a critical gap in understanding how AI impacts the overall research process, including data accuracy, bias, and potential for misrepresentation.

Moreover, existing studies such as those by Ali, Hasan, Hamdan, and Al-Mekhlaf (2022); Bako and Tanko (2022); Holmes and Douglass (2022), and have primarily focused on the operational benefits of AI in accounting, including efficiency improvements and error reduction. Although these studies provide useful insights into the practical applications of AI, they fall short of exploring the subtle effects of AI-driven automation on research quality in the field of accounting. For example, the bibliometric work by Stafie and Grosu (2023) and the conceptual analysis by Losbichler and Lehner (2021, 2022) highlight the theoretical limitations of AI in accounting, yet do not address how these limitations might manifest in research contexts. Additionally, Kommunuri (2022) and others have discussed the transformative potential of AI and emerging technologies, but their focus remains broad and lacks specific attention to how AI-driven automation influences the integrity and reliability of accounting research. Thus, there is a clear need for empirical studies that critically examine the advantages and disadvantages of AI-driven automation in enhancing research quality, particularly in terms of accuracy, bias, and ethical considerations.

1.1 Objectives of the Study

This study examines the implementation of AI-driven automation as a game changer in accounting. The specific objectives are:

1. To examine the advantages of AI-driven automation in enhancing the quality of accounting research.
2. To examine the advantages of AI-driven automation in enhancing the quality of accounting research.

1.2 Research Questions

1. What are the advantages of AI-driven automation in enhancing accounting research quality?
2. What are the consequences of AI-driven automation in enhancing accounting research quality?

2. Literature review

2.1 Conceptual Issues

In accounting research, the implementation of AI-driven automation plays a critical role. Accounting research is data-intensive and requires a high level of precision and accuracy (Aboagye-Otchere, Agyenim-Boateng, Enusah, & Aryee, 2022), making it an ideal candidate for the benefits offered by AI-driven automation. The implementation process in this context involves identifying the areas of research that can be enhanced by AI, such as data analysis (Chike, Mbamalu, Oguanobi, & Egbunike, 2023), pattern recognition, or predictive modeling. It also includes selecting appropriate AI tools, configuring them to work within existing research frameworks, and ensuring that they are aligned with research objectives. The implementation process must also address potential challenges such as data privacy concerns, the risk of algorithmic bias, and the need for ongoing monitoring and adjustment of AI systems to maintain their effectiveness over time.

AI-driven automation refers to the use of artificial intelligence technologies to perform tasks that typically require human intervention with minimal or no human oversight. In essence, AI-driven automation allows machines to carry out complex processes that involve decision-making, pattern recognition, and predictive analysis (Ebuka, Emmanuel, & Idigo, 2023; Mmadubuobi et al., 2024; Nwosu, Obalum, & Ananti, 2024), which were traditionally the domain of human researchers. This automation is "driven" by AI, meaning that it is powered by advanced algorithms and machine-learning models that enable systems to learn from data, adapt to new information, and improve their performance over time (Adeyeri, 2024). AI-driven automation goes beyond simple mechanization; it introduces a level of intelligence and adaptability that allows systems to autonomously handle increasingly sophisticated tasks.

In accounting research, AI-driven automation represents a significant shift in the process and analysis of data. Traditional accounting research often involves labor-intensive tasks such as data entry, reconciliation, and manual analysis of financial statements. With AI-driven automation, these tasks can be executed much more efficiently, thereby freeing researchers to focus on higher-level analyses and interpretations. This level of automation, according to du Toit (2024), not only speeds up the research process but also enhances the accuracy and reliability of the findings, as AI systems are less prone to errors and can handle far more data than human researchers.

The term ‘game changer’ is used in this paper to describe something that significantly alters the existing landscape, creating a profound impact that redefines standards, practices, or expectations. In accounting research, a game changer is an innovation or development that fundamentally changes how research is conducted, interpreted, and applied. AI-driven automation fits this description because it introduces a new paradigm in accounting research, in which traditional methods are being complemented or even replaced by advanced technological solutions (Ali et al., 2022). The introduction of AI-driven automation is not just a minor improvement; it is a transformative shift that changes the rules of the game, offering new possibilities for efficiency, accuracy, and hints in accounting research.

As a game changer, AI-driven automation challenges conventional approaches to accounting research. It shifts the focus from manual, time-consuming tasks to more strategic and analytical thinking, allowing researchers to leverage AI tools to achieve more hints and reliable outcomes. The transformative impact of AI-driven automation can be seen in various aspects of accounting research, from data processing to predictive analytics, in which AI enables researchers to explore new dimensions of financial analysis that were previously inaccessible. This shift is not without challenges, as it requires researchers to adapt to new technologies and methodologies. However, the potential benefits are immense, positioning AI-driven automation as a key driver of innovation and progress in accounting research.

2.2 Pros and Cons of Using AI-Driven Innovation on the Quality of Accounting Research

AI-driven innovation enhances the quality of accounting research by automating complex and time-consuming tasks, allowing researchers to focus on higher-level analyses (du Toit, 2024). One of the primary advantages is the ability to process vast amounts of financial data quickly and accurately, which improves the precision of the research findings. AI can detect patterns, trends, and anomalies within large datasets that may be missed through manual analysis, leading to more astute and data-driven conclusions. Additionally, AI-driven tools such as predictive analytics and machine learning algorithms enable researchers to conduct advanced simulations and scenario analyses, providing more insight into financial behavior and outcomes. This level of analytical sophistication not only enhances the reliability of research, but also opens up new avenues for exploring innovative research questions that were previously impractical due to data limitations or processing constraints.

Despite these advantages, there are several potential drawbacks to using AI-driven innovation in accounting research. One major concern is the risk of algorithmic bias, where AI models may produce skewed results if trained on biased or incomplete data (Ferrara, 2023). This can lead to misleading conclusions and undermine the credibility of research. Additionally, reliance on AI for data analysis

may reduce the need for critical human judgment, potentially leading to over-reliance on automated outputs without sufficient scrutiny (Agbor et al., 2024; Kenwright, 2024). Another challenge is the complexity of AI algorithms, which may not be fully understood by all researchers, leading to difficulties in interpreting the results and explaining the methodologies used. Furthermore, the integration of AI technologies into research requires significant investment in infrastructure, training, and ongoing maintenance, which can be a barrier for smaller institutions or individual researchers with limited resources.

While AI-driven innovation offers considerable benefits in enhancing the quality of accounting research, it is essential to approach its implementation with caution. The potential for improved accuracy, efficiency, and hint must be weighed against the risks of bias, reduced human oversight, and resource demands associated with AI technology. Therefore, we argue vehemently that maximizing the advantages of this automation while mitigating the downsides requires that accounting researchers ensure that AI tools are used as complementary to, rather than replacements for, human expertise. This involves maintaining a critical perspective on AI outputs, validating results using traditional methods when possible, and continuously refining algorithms to minimize biases.

2.3 Theoretical Underpinning

The diffusion of innovation theory was developed by sociologist Everett Rogers (1962). Rogers introduced the theory in his seminal book, "Diffusion of Innovations," where he examined how, why, and at what rate new ideas and technologies spread through cultures (Nworie & Okafor, 2021). Drawing on multiple disciplines, including sociology, communication studies, and marketing, Rogers' work provides a comprehensive model for understanding the adoption of innovations over time. The diffusion of innovation theory posits that the adoption of a new idea or technology follows a bell-shaped curve, categorized into five adopter groups: innovators, early adopters, early majority, late majority, and laggards (Wani & Ali, 2015). This theory suggests that the diffusion process is influenced by factors such as the innovation's perceived relative advantage, compatibility with existing values and practices, complexity, trialability, and observability. Innovators are the first to adopt an innovation, followed by early adopters, who are often opinion leaders within their communities. The early and late majority adopt innovation as it becomes more widely accepted, while laggards are the last to adopt, often because of resistance to change or lower access to information (Miller, 2015).

The diffusion of innovation theory is highly relevant to the study of AI-driven automation implementation in accounting research. This theory provides a framework for understanding how accounting researchers and institutions adopt AI technologies. By examining the different adopter categories, the theory helps identify the characteristics of early adopters of AI-driven automation in accounting research as well as the barriers faced by those who are slower to adopt. The theory's focus on the perceived attributes of innovations, such as their relative advantage and compatibility, is particularly useful for analyzing the factors that influence the adoption of AI tools in accounting research.

2.4 Existing Empirical Evidence

du Toit (2024) established whether accounting research articles can potentially be generated by artificial intelligence. The integrity of academic research may be compromised if AI can produce high-quality work. The ChatGPT was used to create a meta-analysis of the relationship between sustainability reporting and value relevance. After the papers were generated, references were added manually based on the citations created by ChatGPT. The paper is then presented as is for the review. ChatGPT was able to create a relatively high-quality research paper that received two major revisions from independent specialists in the fields of accounting and finance. Even though there is uncertainty regarding the appropriateness of all the references and the results cannot be confirmed, there is a risk that a reviewer may find the paper publishable because reviewers are not compelled to check references and the accuracy of results if proper methods are used that appear to be sufficient at face value.

Rosário (2024) reviewed the bibliometric literature on how artificial intelligence can help accounting in information management using the Scopus database to analyze 77 academic and/or scientific documents. A systematic bibliometric literature review (LRSB) methodology was used to collect and synthesize data. The researcher used this methodology because it provides a rigorous and structured approach for assessing existing scholarly work on a specific study topic. LRSB provides a comprehensive overview of the academic landscape by systematically identifying, collecting, and analyzing relevant academic publications, thereby allowing researchers to identify key trends, emerging research themes, and gaps in the literature. Unlike traditional literature reviews, LRSB adopts a replicable, scientific, and transparent process that helps minimize bias by exhaustively searching for published and unpublished literature on the study topic. Moreover, the researcher provides an audit trial that helps readers assess the quality of the studies synthesized in the research, procedures, and conclusions.

Bako and Tanko (2022) evaluated the place of artificial intelligence in the accounting field. This study reviewed conceptual and theoretical studies of AI and drew conclusions. Library research was adopted to gather information for this study. It was discovered that artificial intelligence improved firms' operations. Though it cannot completely replace accountants, it would reduce the demand for many accountants. This would have a positive effect, and if harnessed correctly, AI and automation could significantly enhance how accountants work as well as the services they provide to clients. This reduces time and spending. This study recommends that accountants upgrade themselves. Further, future accountants should acquire knowledge of digital technology in accounting, and companies of any size should use AI to ease their sales, marketing, accounting, and customer service without spending much on labor. The National University Commission and universities should include AI in university curricula, so that students can learn both the theories and practical aspects of AI.

Holmes and Douglass (2022) provide hints from accounting professionals on the impact of artificial intelligence (AI) adoption and the associated risks in the accounting profession. Survey data suggest that participants have an overall positive perception of AI and believe that it will enhance their job performance by reducing repetitive tasks and the risk of human error. In addition, participants believe that the growth of AI technology will change the focus of accounting curricula to include specialized computer skills. Public accountants expressed significantly stronger agreement in Big 4 firms compared to non-Big 4 firms, industries, and accounting educators. More specifically, skills in data management, data cleansing, and correcting inaccurate or incomplete data are valued more by industry and public accountants than accounting educators. It is imperative that accounting programs equip students to become lifelong learners in accounting to grow with changes in the profession.

Stafie and Grosu (2023) analyzed the existing literature on the importance of AI-based technologies and the possible impact on accounting from a bibliometric perspective. Bibliometric analysis was performed both chronologically and geographically with the support of the Web of Science (WoS) and Scopus databases. VOSviewer software was used to process the data with the aim of providing a comprehensive picture of how cognitive technologies are of interest to academic researchers and accounting practitioners.

Ali et al. (2022) explored the impact of artificial intelligence on the education of accounting and auditing profession. This work provides a review of the literature on artificial intelligence and its use in accounting and auditing. A narrative approach was used to analyze related articles and micro-research to provide a comprehensive overview of the topic. Particularly with regard to the accounting and auditing professions, artificial intelligence has recently undergone breakthroughs that have caused a shift in their attention from paper to computer entries. The goal of artificial intelligence is to demonstrate how computer technology can execute activities as effectively and efficiently as humans can, if not better. The future of the accounting and auditing professions depends critically on artificial intelligence technology, because it provides us with the means to perform our duties more effectively and efficiently. AI has significantly improved operations, reporting, and decision-making processes in accounting and auditing, among others.

Losbichler and Lehner (2022) examined both the limits of forecasting capabilities and the possible applications of automated forecasts, and provided a derived research agenda for accountancy. Complaints about an uncertain and difficult-to-plan environment, the premature "being outdated" of planning, and budgetary "power games" have a long history. At the beginning of the 2000s, the Beyond Budgeting Round Table (BBRT) called for end to classical planning. During the 2008 financial crisis, the term VUCA, which stands for volatility, uncertainty, complexity, and ambiguity, was established as a synonym for the predictability of future developments. In response to the then "new normal," concepts such as modern budgeting, scenario planning, bandwidth planning, and rolling forecasts were presented, which propagated the abandonment of detailed, precise planning and forecasting in various ways. However, with the advent of digitization, a paradigm shift seems to have begun. Access to new data sources (Big Data), almost unlimited computing power, and AI systems has quickly led to keywords such as predictive analytics and the first applications of AI-based machine forecasts.

Kommunuri (2022) explored the changing landscape of accounting and the role of emerging technologies in the accounting environment. The author presents perspectives on the influence of artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning (ML), and other subsets in accounting, emphasizing the increasing need for and significance of these applications. These viewpoints can provide researchers and practitioners with a meaningful overview of knowledge and a research agenda. The role of emerging technologies in accounting and the various opportunities and challenges in implementation are discussed. In addition, possible future research directions were identified.

Losbichler and Lehner (2021) examine the limits of artificial intelligence in accounting research. This article is conceptual in nature, yet a theoretically informed semi-systematic literature review from various disciplines, together with empirically validated future research questions, provides the background for the overall narration. AI has been found to be severely limited in its application to control and is discussed from the perspectives of complexity and cybernetics. Three such limits, namely the Bremermann limit, problems with partial detectability and controllability of complex systems, and the inherent biases in the complementarity of human and machine information processing, are presented as salient and representative examples. The authors then carefully illustrate how human–machine collaboration could look depending on the specifics of the task and the environment.

3. Research Methodology

3.1 Research Design

This study employs a descriptive survey research design, which is suitable for gathering data from a large group of respondents to understand their perspectives, attitudes, and behaviors. The descriptive survey design was chosen because of its effectiveness in collecting quantifiable information from a defined population (Nworie & Oguejiofor, 2023). In this study, the descriptive survey method is particularly advantageous because it allows for the systematic collection of data on accounting academics' opinions regarding the implementation of AI-driven automation in accounting research.

3.2 Population and Sample

The study population comprised 137 accounting academics. These individuals are selected based on their expertise and involvement in accounting research and their familiarity with emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence (AI). The census sampling technique was used to select all population elements in the sample because the population is manageable and small. Thus, it was necessary to include all population elements to enhance the representativeness of the sample size, which will increase the power of the empirical analysis. A sample size of 137 was sufficient to provide a representative view of the broader academic community involved in accounting research. Given the relatively small and specialized population, a census approach was adopted, meaning that the entire population of 137 accounting academics was included in this study. This approach eliminated sampling bias and ensured that the findings were comprehensive and reflective of the entire population.

3.3 Method of Data Collection

Data for this study were collected using a structured questionnaire. The questionnaire was designed to capture the opinions and experiences of accounting academics on the impact of AI-driven automation on accounting research. The structured questionnaire ensured consistency in the collected data, as all respondents were presented with the same set of questions in the same order.

The questionnaire is structured with closed-ended questions, which are easy for respondents to answer and straightforward to analyze. The questions were designed to cover various aspects of AI-driven automation, including its perceived benefits, challenges, and overall impact on the quality of accounting research. To capture the subtleties in respondents' attitudes, the study used a five-point Likert scale. The Likert scale is particularly useful in this context, as it allows respondents to express the degree of their agreement or disagreement with each statement. The scale ranges from "Strongly Agree" to "Strongly Disagree," providing a clear measure of the intensity of respondents' feelings toward each item (Nworie & Oguejiofor, 2023).

The questionnaire was distributed electronically to all the 137 accounting academics. This method of distribution was chosen for its efficiency and convenience to respondents who can complete the questionnaire at a time that suits them. Electronic distribution also facilitates quick data collection and easy tracking of responses.

3.4 Method of Data Analysis

The data collected through the structured questionnaire were analyzed using frequency distribution and mean. The analysis begins with the coding of responses from the Likert scale into numerical values, where "Strongly Agree" is coded as 5, "Agree" as 4, "Neutral" as 3, "Disagree" as 2, and "Strongly Disagree" as 1. Once the responses were coded, the frequency of each response to each question was calculated. This involved counting the number of times each response option was selected by the respondents and then expressing these counts as percentages of the total number of responses.

Descriptive analytical tools (frequency and mean-point analyses) were used to analyze the data with the aid of the SPSS version 25 software. The frequency distribution results are presented in tables, with each table corresponding to a different question in the questionnaire. These tables include columns for the response options (Strongly Agree, Agree, Neutral, Disagree, Strongly Disagree), the frequency of each response, and the percentage of respondents selecting each option. This tabular presentation makes it easy to compare responses across different questions and identify areas where there is a strong consensus or significant divergence of opinions among the respondents. Frequency distribution analysis provides a clear picture of the general attitudes and perceptions of accounting academics regarding AI-driven automation in accounting research. It highlights the aspects of AI that are most commonly viewed as beneficial as well as those that are seen as challenging or problematic.

4. Results and discussions

Table 1. Analysis of Research Questions

I	**Pros of AI-Driven Automation in Enhancing the Quality of Accounting Research**	**SA**	**A**	**UD**	**D**	**SD**	**Mean**	**Remark**
1.	AI-driven automation improves the accuracy of data analysis in accounting research.	44	43	11	19	20	3.53	Accept
2.	The use of AI in accounting research reduces the time required to complete complex data processing tasks.	32	64	14	15	12	3.65	Accept

3.	AI-driven tools enhance the ability to identify patterns and trends in large datasets used in accounting research.	21	66	-	37	13	3.33	Accept
4.	AI-driven automation facilitates the generation of more comprehensive and in-depth research findings in accounting studies.	64	43	16	10	4	4.12	Accept
5	The integration of AI in accounting research enhances the reproducibility of research results.	65	31	7	26	8	3.87	Accept
6.	AI-driven automation in accounting research leads to more innovative approaches to solving complex research problems.	38	56	10	17	16	3.61	Accept
II	**Cons of AI-Driven Automation in Enhancing the Quality of Accounting Research**	**SA**	**A**	**UD**	**D**	**SD**	**Mean**	**Remark**
7.	The reliance on AI-driven automation in accounting research may compromise the originality and creativity of research outputs.	30	42	5	48	12	3.22	Accept
8.	AI-driven tools may produce research findings that are difficult to validate or replicate due to the complexity of algorithms.	49	42	5	28	13	3.63	Accept
9.	The use of AI in accounting research can lead to over-reliance on automated processes, reducing critical human oversight.	40	72	1	16	8	3.88	Accept
10.	There is a risk that AI-driven automation could introduce biases into accounting research, affecting the validity of results.	24	43	5	48	17	3.07	Accept
11.	AI-generated research findings may lack the depth and contextual understanding that human researchers provide.	43	46	9	30	9	3.62	Accept
12.	The implementation of AI in accounting research could potentially compromise the ethical standards of academic work.	12	112	13	-	-	3.99	Accept

Source: Field Survey (2024)

Table 1 presents an analysis of the research questions focused on the implementation of AI-driven automation in enhancing the quality of accounting research, divided into pros and cons. Each statement was evaluated based on responses categorized as Strongly Agree (SA), agree (A), undecided (UD), disagree (D), or Strongly Disagree (SD), with corresponding mean scores and remarks.

4.1 Pros of AI-Driven Automation

The first statement in this section assesses whether AI-driven automation improves the accuracy of data analyses in accounting research. The mean score of 3.53, with a significant number of respondents agreeing (SA: 44, A: 43) and only a few disagreeing (D: 19, SD: 20), indicates a general consensus that AI automation enhances accuracy, although not overwhelmingly. This result suggests a positive reception towards the accuracy improvements facilitated by AI tools, although some reservations remain.

The second item evaluates whether AI reduces the time required for complex data processing tasks. With a mean score of 3.65 and a strong agreement (SA: 32, A: 64), this statement reflects a high level of acceptance that AI-driven automation significantly accelerates data processing. The relatively lower disagreement (D: 15, SD: 12) supports the view that efficiency gains are widely recognized among respondents.

The third section explores the enhancement of pattern and trend identification in large datasets. A mean score of 3.33, combined with varied responses (SA: 21, A: 66, D: 37), indicates a positive but more subtle perception. While many agree that AI tools are beneficial for identifying patterns, there is notable divergence in opinions, suggesting that while AI can be helpful, it is not universally effective or appreciated in this regard.

The fourth statement examined whether AI-driven automation facilitates the generation of more comprehensive research findings. With the highest mean score of 4.12, and substantial agreement (SA: 64, A: 43), it is clear that respondents believe AI significantly contributes to producing in-depth and comprehensive research results. The low disagreement (D = 10, SD = 4) further emphasizes the strong positive view of this aspect.

The fifth statement considered whether the integration of AI enhances the reproducibility of the research results. The mean score of 3.87, with strong agreement (SA: 65, A: 31), indicates that a significant proportion of respondents believe AI improves reproducibility. The lower disagreement (D = 26, SD = 8) supports this positive assessment, highlighting AI's role of AI in ensuring consistent research outcomes.

The final item in the pros section addresses whether AI leads to more innovative approaches for solving complex research problems. With a mean score of 3.61 and substantial agreement (SA: 38, A: 56), respondents largely accepted that AI-driven automation fosters innovation. The relatively few disagreements (D: 17, SD: 16) suggest that while AI is a driver of innovative solutions, opinions on its impact are somewhat mixed.

4.2 Cons of AI-Driven Automation

The first statement examines whether reliance on AI compromises the originality and creativity of research outputs. A mean score of 3.22 reflects some concern, with a moderate level of agreement (SA: 30, A: 42) and significant disagreement (D: 48, SD: 12). This indicates that while AI may aid research, it could potentially stifle original thoughts and creativity.

The second item assessed whether AI tools produce findings that are difficult to validate or replicate. With a mean score of 3.63 and notable agreement (SA: 49, A: 42), this suggests that complexity in AI algorithms is a recognized challenge. The responses highlight concerns regarding the validation and replication of AI-generated findings.

The third statement evaluates whether AI in accounting research leads to overreliance on automated processes, thereby reducing human oversight. A mean score of 3.88, with high agreement (SA: 40, A: 72), indicates a widespread concern that excessive reliance on AI may undermine the role of human judgment in the research process. Lower disagreement (D = 16, SD = 8) reinforces this concern.

The fourth item considered the risk of AI introducing biases into research, thus affecting validity. With a mean score of 3.07 and varied responses (SA: 24, A: 43, D: 48, SD: 17), this statement shows that while some respondents recognize potential bias issues, opinions are divided, with a notable number expressing concern about AI's impact of AI on research validity.

The fifth statement examines whether AI-generated findings lack the depth and contextual understanding provided by human researchers. The mean score of 3.62, with strong agreement (SA: 43, A: 46), indicates that AI might not fully capture the subtle understanding that human researchers bring. The disagreement was relatively moderate (D: 30, SD: 9), suggesting that while AI is beneficial, it may not always substitute for human hints.

The final item addressed the potential compromise of ethical standards in academic work due to AI implementation. With a mean score of 3.99 and a high level of agreement (SA: 12, A: 112), respondents were largely concerned about AI impacting ethical standards. The absence of strong disagreement highlights a broad acceptance of this concern, suggesting significant apprehension about maintaining ethical integrity with AI's use of AI.

4.3 Discussion of Findings

du Toit (2024) highlights that while AI, such as ChatGPT, can produce high-quality research papers, there are concerns about the accuracy of results and references, which may compromise the integrity of academic research. This aligns with the finding that AI-driven automation generally enhances accuracy and efficiency in accounting research but also suggests that reliance on AI might introduce risks. On the other hand, Bako and Tanko (2022) find that AI significantly reduces time and improves operational efficiency in accounting, underscoring the positive impact of AI on accuracy and productivity. Ali et al. (2022) observed that AI has substantially improved operations and decision-making processes in accounting and auditing, reinforcing the view that AI-driven automation enhances research quality and operational efficiency.

Rosário (2024) demonstrated that AI's systematic approach to literature reviews offers a comprehensive and structured overview of existing research, supporting the finding that AI can facilitate more in-depth research outcomes. This contrasts with Holmes and Douglass (2022), who found that, while AI enhances job performance by automating repetitive tasks, the depth and contextual understanding provided by human researchers remain crucial. The comprehensive nature of AI-generated research aligns with Stafie and Grosu (2023) bibliometric analysis, which emphasizes AI's role of AI in providing a detailed academic landscape. However, Kommunuri (2022) underscores the need for emerging technologies to complement rather than replace human insight, suggesting that, while AI can enhance research depth, it must be used judiciously alongside human expertise.

Losbichler and Lehner (2022) noted that the advent of AI has introduced new paradigms in forecasting and planning, which could potentially impact the originality of traditional methods. This supports the finding that AI may compromise originality in accounting research. In contrast, Losbichler and Lehner (2021) argue that while AI has limitations, it can also drive innovation when human-machine collaboration is effectively managed, implying that AI's potential to stifle creativity can be mitigated. Concerns about originality align with the broader discussion of AI's role in potentially reducing the creative aspects of research, as highlighted in various studies.

Ali et al. (2022) found that while AI improves efficiency, issues with validation and replication of AI-generated results remain significant challenges. This finding supports the finding that AI tools may produce results that are difficult to validate or replicate. Rosário (2024) used a bibliometric approach to mitigate bias and enhance the reliability of AI-supported research, suggesting that rigorous methodologies can address some validation concerns. Nonetheless, the challenges noted by du Toit (2024) regarding the verification of AI-generated research highlight ongoing issues regarding the accuracy and validation of AI outputs in academic settings.

The ethical implications of AI in accounting research are underscored by Kommunuri (2022), who discusses the importance of maintaining ethical standards during technological advancements. This supports the finding that AI implementation could potentially compromise ethical standards in academic work. Losbichler and Lehner (2021) also addressed the limitations of AI in controlling and decision-making, reflecting concerns about the ethical use of AI. The broad acceptance of concerns regarding AI and ethics, as highlighted by du Toit (2024) and other studies, emphasizes the need for ongoing scrutiny and ethical considerations in the integration of AI into accounting research.

5. Conclusion

5.1. Conclusion

The findings of this study show a general consensus that AI-driven automation enhances the accuracy, efficiency, and comprehensiveness of accounting research, with high acceptance of its benefits. However, there are notable concerns about potential drawbacks such as reduced originality, difficulties in validation, and the risk of introducing biases or compromising ethical standards. In conclusion, the implementation of AI-driven automation represents a game changer in accounting research, offering new opportunities to enhance the quality, efficiency, and scope of academic inquiry, as well as challenges and risks that must be carefully managed to ensure that the benefits of AI are fully realized while maintaining the integrity and rigor of the research process. As AI continues to evolve, it will undoubtedly play an increasingly important role in shaping the future of accounting research by offering new tools and methodologies that can transform the way we understand and analyze financial data.

As per the merits, the integration of AI-driven automation in accounting research would lead to a transformative enhancement in the accuracy, efficiency, and scope of research activities. Researchers should be able to analyze vast datasets with unprecedented speed and precision, uncovering hints that were previously unattainable. AI technologies would complement human expertise, allowing for more subtle interpretation of complex financial data. This synergy between AI and human judgment would result in higher-quality research outcomes that are both reliable and reproducible, ultimately advancing the field of accounting and informing better global financial practices. Ethical standards should be strictly adhered to, ensuring that AI systems are transparent, unbiased, and fully aligned with the principles of rigorous academic inquiry.

Based on the findings of this research, the following recommendations were made.

1. Researchers in accounting should integrate AI-driven innovations into accounting research processes to enhance the accuracy, efficiency, and comprehensiveness of research findings, enabling researchers to manage large datasets effectively and produce high-quality, innovative research outputs.
2. Academic institutions and research ethics committees should develop workable training programs emphasizing the importance of maintaining human oversight, creativity, and ethical standards when utilizing AI-driven automation in accounting research.

5.2. Limitation

The primary limitation of this study is its reliance on accounting academics, which may not fully represent the broader population of accounting professionals across various regions or institutional settings. Additionally, the study focuses solely on academic researchers' perspectives, potentially overlooking the views of practitioners or other stakeholders in the accounting profession, who may also be impacted by AI-driven automation. Furthermore, the use of a survey-based methodology may introduce response biases, as respondents may have different levels of familiarity or interest in AI technologies, which could influence the results. Finally, the study's cross-sectional nature limits its ability to draw conclusions regarding the long-term effects of AI in accounting research.

5.3. Suggestion

Future research could expand the sample size to include a broader range of participants such as accounting practitioners, industry professionals, and technology experts to gain a more comprehensive understanding of the impact of AI-driven automation on the accounting field. Longitudinal studies could

also be conducted to explore the long-term implications of AI on accounting research and practice as well as how its adoption evolves over time. Additionally, comparative studies across different regions or countries can provide insights into the contextual factors that influence the implementation of AI in accounting. Finally, exploring the ethical and regulatory implications of AI in accounting research would be valuable for ensuring its responsible and effective integration into the field.

References

Aboagye-Otchere, F., Agyenim-Boateng, C., Enusah, A., & Aryee, T. E. (2022). A Review of Big Data Research in Accounting. *Intell. Syst. Account. Finance Manag.,* 28, 268-283.

Adeyeri, T. B. (2024). Economic impacts of AI-Driven automation in financial services. *Valley International Journal Digital Library,* 12(7), 6779-6791.

Agbor, I., Duke, O., Ofu, I., Akpet, O., Nwagboso, C., Iwejuo, . . . Ukume, G. (2024). Artificial Intelligence and Academic Research: Understanding the Potential and the Threats to Academic Writing. 6, 33-52. doi:10.5281/zenodo.11120274

Ali, S. M., Hasan, Z. J., Hamdan, A., & Al-Mekhlaf, M. (2022). *Artificial intelligence (AI) in the education of accounting and auditing profession.* Paper presented at the International Conference on Business and Technology.

Bako, P. M., & Tanko, U. M. (2022). The Place of Artificial Intelligence in Accounting Field and the Future of Accounting Profession. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning and Neural Network,* 25.

Chike, N. K., Mbamalu, E. I., Oguanobi, C. A., & Egbunike, C. F. (2023). Big Data Analytics and market competitiveness of selected firms in Lagos State, Nigeria. *Annals of Management and Organization Research,* 4(4), 251-269.

du Toit, E. (2024). Can artificial intelligence produce a convincing accounting research article? *Accounting research journal,* 37(4), 365-380.

Ebuka, A. A., Emmanuel, D., & Idigo, P. (2023). Artificial Intelligence as a catalyst for the Sustainability of Small and Medium Scale Businesses (SMEs) in Nigeria. *Annals of Management and Organization Research,* 5(1), 1-11.

Ferrara, E. (2023). Fairness and bias in artificial intelligence: A brief survey of sources, impacts, and mitigation strategies. *Sci,* 6(1), 3.

Ghotbi, N. (2024). Ethics of Artificial Intelligence in Academic Research and Education *Second Handbook of Academic Integrity* (pp. 1355-1366): Springer.

Holmes, A. F., & Douglass, A. (2022). Artificial intelligence: Reshaping the accounting profession and the disruption to accounting education. *Journal of Emerging Technologies in Accounting,* 19(1), 53-68.

Kenwright, B. (2024). Is it the end of undergraduate dissertations?: Exploring the advantages and challenges of generative ai models in education *Generative AI in teaching and learning* (pp. 46-65): IGI Global.

Kommunuri, J. (2022). Artificial intelligence and the changing landscape of accounting: a viewpoint. *Pacific Accounting Review,* 34(4), 585-594.

Kosasih, A., & Sulaiman, E. (2024). Digital transformation in rural settings: Unlocking opportunities for sustainable economic growth and community empowerment. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism and Entrepreneurship,* 5(2), 129-143.

Losbichler, H., & Lehner, O. M. (2021). Limits of artificial intelligence in controlling and the ways forward: a call for future accounting research. *Journal of Applied Accounting Research,* 22(2), 365-382.

Losbichler, H., & Lehner, O. M. (2022). Cybernetic Limits of Artificial Intelligence in Accounting and a Future Research Agenda *Artificial Intelligence in Accounting* (pp. 98-118): Routledge.

Miller, R. L. (2015). Rogers' innovation diffusion theory (1962, 1995) *Information seeking behavior and technology adoption: Theories and trends* (pp. 261-274): IGI Global.

Mmadubuobi, L. C., Nworie, G. O., & Aziekwe, O. P. (2024). Industry 4.0 and Corporate Technological Responsibility of Manufacturing Firms in Nigeria.". *Central Asian Journal of Innovations on Tourism Management and Finance,* 5(4), 67-80.

Nworie, G. O., & Oguejiofor, B. C. (2023). Management Information System and Performance of Cement Firms in Southeast Nigeria. *International Journal of Accounting and Management Information Systems*, 1(1), 1-15.

Nworie, G. O., & Okafor, T. G. (2021). A Literature Review on the Challenges of the Use of Point of Sale (POS) Terminals in the Nigerian Banking System.

Nwosu, C. C., Obalum, D. C., & Ananti, M. O. (2024). Artificial intelligence in public service and governance in Nigeria. *Journal of Governance and Accountability Studies*, 4(2), 109-120.

Putra, J. M., Karundeng, D. R., Gofur, A., Tresnadjaja, R., Suhara, A., Sukmayadi, S., & Sopyan, A. (2024). Entrepreneurship in the era of society 5.0: Navigating digitalization for innovation and growth. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism and Entrepreneurship*, 6(1), 1-13.

Rohmah, K. L., Arisudhana, A., & Nurhantoro, T. S. (2022). *THE FUTURE OF ACCOUNTING WITH ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE: OPPORTUNITIES AND CHALLENGES.* Paper presented at the Proceeding of International Conference on Information Science and Technology Innovation (ICoSTEC).

Rosário, A. T. (2024). How Artificial Intelligence Can Help Accounting in Information Management *Artificial Intelligence Approaches to Sustainable Accounting* (pp. 65-92): IGI Global.

Sadeghi, S., & Barzegari, J. (2020). Accounting in the fourth industrial revolution: Exploration of digital currency exchanges using AHP method. *Annals of Management and Organization Research*, 2(1), 25-40.

Stafie, G., & Grosu, V. (2023). *The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Accounting*. Paper presented at the Springer Proceedings in Business and Economics.

Wani, T. A., & Ali, S. W. (2015). Innovation diffusion theory. *Journal of general management research*, 3(2), 101-118.

William, F. K. A. (2024). Mastering Validity and Reliability in Academic Research: Meaning and Significance. *International Journal of Research Publications*, 144(1), 287-292.